UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NORTH DAKOTA WESTERN DIVISION

No.

THE CATHOLIC BENEFITS ASSOCIA-TION, on behalf of its members; and BIS-MARCK DIOCESE,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CHARLOTTE BURROWS, Chair of the United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission; and UNITED STATES EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION,

Defendants.

CBA PLAINTIFFS' VERIFIED COMPLAINT FOR DECLARATORY AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

Table of Contents

I. NATURE OF THE ACTION	4
II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE	9
III. PARTIES	9
A. Plaintiffs	9
1. The Bismarck Diocese	9
2. The Catholic Benefits Association	11
3. The CBA's Associational Standing	14
B. Defendants	18
IV. PLAINTIFFS' CATHOLIC BELIEFS AND PRACTICES RELATED TO ABORTION, FERTILITY TREATMENT, AND SEXUALITY	·
A. Catholic Teaching on Abortion	19
B. Catholic Teaching on Artificial Reproductive Technology	20
C. Catholic Teaching on Gender Ideology	21
V. THE PREGNANT WORKERS FAIRNESS ACT, TITLE VII, AND EEOC'S RULES FOR EACH	24
A. The Pregnant Workers Fairness Act	24
1. The PWFA Protects Pregnant Women and Their Babies	25
2. EEOC's NPRM Interprets PWFA to Require Accommodation of Abortion and Immoral Fertility Treatment	28
3. The EEOC's PWFA Rule Requires Employers to Accommodate Abortion and Immoral Fertility Treatment	30
B. Title VII and EEOC's Harassment Guidance	34

C. The EEOC's PWFA Rule and Harassment Guidance Impose Speech Codes Regarding Abortion, Immoral Fertility Treatment, and Transgender Issues
 D. The EEOC's Rejection of Categorial Religious Exemption and Minimization of Protections Provided by the Religious Freedom Restoration Act and the First Amendment
E. Enforcement Mechanisms40
VI. NEED FOR RELIEF
VII. CAUSES OF ACTION
Count I: Administrative Procedure Act - Agency Action Not in Accordance with Law
Count II: Administrative Procedure Act - Agency Action In Excess of Statutory Authority and Limitations
Count III: Administrative Procedure Act - Agency Action that is Arbitrary, Capricious and an Abuse of Discretion
Count IV: First Amendment of Freedom of Speech46
Count V: Religious Freedom Restoration Act47
Count VI: First Amendment Free Exercise
Count VII: First Amendment Church Autonomy
Count IX: Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e-1(a) and 2000e(j)
VIII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Case 1:24-cv-00142-CRH Document 1 Filed 07/24/24 Page 4 of 58

Plaintiffs, the Catholic Benefits Association, on behalf of its members, and the Bismarck Diocese, (collectively, either "Plaintiffs" or "CBA Plaintiffs"), through their attorneys, First & Fourteenth PLLC, allege:

I. NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. Over a ten-day span in April, EEOC issued a series of regulations and enforcement guidelines that ran roughshod over the religious rights of the Catholic employers bringing this lawsuit. First, the EEOC issued a final rule requiring employers to accommodate and not retaliate against women employees seeking abortion or immoral infertility treatments. *See* Implementation of the Pregnant Workers Fairness Act, 89 Fed. Reg. 29,096 (April 19, 2024) ("PWFA Rule").¹ The PWFA Rule requires employers to provide employees with paid leave and other accommoda-tions for seeking an abortion or pursuing immoral infertility treatments. The District Court for the Western District of Louisiana has already preliminarily enjoined this rule to protect the United States Conference of Catholic Bishops and other plaintiffs on June 17, 2024.²

- 2. Second, the PWFA Rule restricts the speech of Catholic employers by deeming criticism of or even the absence of affirmation for these choices to constitute retaliation.
- 3. Third, the EEOC gratuitously provided its preemptive constrictive interpretation of the Religious Freedom Restoration Act ("RFRA")³ and constitutional defenses those

¹ Because the EEOC now interprets the PWFA to impose similar requirements on employers as its April 2024 regulation, this complaint uses the term "PWFA Rule" to include both the regulation and the EEOC's similar interpretation of Title VII.

² Mem. Order, U.S. Conf. of Cath. Bishops v. EEOC, No. 2:24-cv-00691 (W.D. La.) (June 17, 2024), ECF No. 53.

³ 42 U.S.C. § 2000bb.

Case 1:24-cv-00142-CRH Document 1 Filed 07/24/24 Page 5 of 58

conscientiously opposed to accommodating an employee's abortion or in vitro fertilization ("IVF") might raise. PWFA Rule, 89 Fed. Reg. at 29,148-53.

4. Finally, the EEOC issued enforcement guidance regarding sexual harassment under Title VII that likewise burdens the free exercise and speech rights of Catholic employers. *See* EEOC Enforcement Guidance on Harassment in the Workplace (April 29, 2024), https://www.eeoc.gov/laws/guidance/enforcement-guidance-harassment-workplace ("Harassment Guidance").⁴ EEOC's Harassment Guidance effectively requires Catholic employers to use false pronouns, to avoid speaking the truth regarding human sexuality around certain employees, and to permit opposite-sex employees to intrude into private spaces reserved to those of the other sex.

5. These were not the only federal government attacks on religious employers. During this same period, the United States Department of Health and Human Services issued regulations forcing Catholic employers to cover abortion and gender transition services in their employee health plans by foreclosing all other options. Nondiscrimination in Health Programs and Activities, 89 Fed. Reg. 37,522 (May 6, 2024). The District Court for the Southern District of Mississippi has already preliminarily enjoined this Rule.⁵ The EEOC reinforced this rule by reading Title VII to

⁴ Because the EEOC now interprets Title VII to impose similar requirements on employers as its April 2024 enforcement guidance regarding sexual harassment, this complaint uses the term "Harassment Guidance" to include both the regulation and the EEOC's similar interpretation of Title VII.

⁵ Mem. Op. and Order Granting Plaintiffs Mtn. for Expedited Relief, § 705 Relief, and a Prel. Inj., Tennessee v. Becerra, No. 1:24-cv161-LG-BWR (S.D. Miss.) (July 3, 2024), ECF No. 29.

Case 1:24-cv-00142-CRH Document 1 Filed 07/24/24 Page 6 of 58

require coverage of gender transition services. (The CBA has challenged the rules described in this paragraph in a separate lawsuit).⁶

6. It did not have to be this way. Before this administrative hijacking, Congress passed a law protecting pregnant women in the workplace by requiring employers to provide reasonable accommodations to "known limitations related to pregnancy, childbirth, and related medical conditions" so long as the accommodations do not constitute an undue hardship on the employer. The Pregnant Workers Fairness Act, 42 U.S.C. § 2000gg, *et seq* ("PWFA") filled a gap in federal law, where pregnant women have had limited protections from discrimination, but employers were not generally required to provide common sense accommodations to pregnant workers. These accommodations include workplace accessibility, the ability to take additional bathroom, food, or hydration breaks, temporary lifting accommodations, and leave for medical appointments or childbirth. These low-cost accommodations align with Catholic values that support pregnant women and postpartum mothers.

7. This laudable addition to federal law was supported by broad bipartisan coalition, including the United States Conference of Catholic Bishops, Democrats for Life of America, and the National Association of Evangelicals.

8. The PWFA nowhere mentions "abortion," and it nowhere requires employers to accommodate employees seeking an abortion. The text and legislative context make clear that the laudable PWFA has nothing to do with the immoral choice to terminate a pregnancy through direct abortion or to engage in immoral fertility treatments like IFV. The EEOC, undaunted by text or

⁶ CBA Plaintiffs' Complt, *Cath. Benefits Ass'n v. Becerra*, No. 3:23-cv-203-PDW-ARS (D.N.D., E. Div.) (May 30, 2024), ECF No. 46.

Case 1:24-cv-00142-CRH Document 1 Filed 07/24/24 Page 7 of 58

context, hijacked this statute and demanded that Catholic and other employers affirmatively accommodate abortion and immoral fertility treatments.

9. Those who drafted and passed PWFA repeatedly affirmed it did *not* apply to abortion. Senator Bill Cassidy directly said the proposed law would not "do anything to promote abortion." 168 Cong. Rec. S7050 (daily ed. Dec. 8, 2022).

10. None of the pro-life organizations that supported the PWFA would have done so had it applied to abortion or other immoral infertility treatments that destroy fertilized ova.

11. The EEOC's rulemaking has betrayed the firm understanding that the PWFA exists to protect pregnant women, postpartum mothers, and their babies–not force religious employers to provide material support for and engage in speech supportive of abortions or immoral fertility treatments. When the EEOC first proposed transforming the PWFA into an abortion accommodation mandate on August 11, 2023, 88 Fed. Reg. 54,714, Regulations to Implement the Pregnant Workers Fairness Act (August 11, 2023) ("PWFA NPRM"), its proposal generated 53,000 critical comments, including from the PWFA's co-sponsors and from organizations that had endorsed the bill.

12. EEOC ignored these comments, breezed past numerous legal flaws with its proposed interpretation of the PWFA, and published the PWFA Rule that mentions abortion 384 times and went into effect on June 18, 2024.

13. The PWFA Rule passed on a 3-2 vote, with Commissioner Andre Lucas filing a vigorous statement rejecting EEOC's action. If not corrected, the PWFA Rule will force the CBA Plaintiffs either to violate their Catholic values by providing abortion and IVF accommodation benefits, modifying religious speech and policies, and otherwise acting in ways directly contrary to

Case 1:24-cv-00142-CRH Document 1 Filed 07/24/24 Page 8 of 58

their Catholic values, or to suffer enforcement and other adverse actions. The Administrative Procedure Act, the Constitution, and RFRA support immediate injunctive and declaratory relief to prevent this from happening.

14. As explained in the declarations of Archbishop Bernard Hebda of St. Paul and Minneapolis and Bishop Liam Cary of Baker and the verification of portions of this complaint by Bishop David Dennis Kagan of Bismarck, *see* Exs. E, F, and further detailed in Section IV below, the CBA Plaintiffs cannot, consistent with their faith, support by word or deed or accommodate abortion or immoral fertility treatments, and they cannot, by word or deed affirm or accommodate a gender ideology that would give men access spaces like bathrooms, dressing rooms, or other spaces reserved for women or vice versa. Thus, the EEOC's PWFA Rule and its Harassment Guidance burden their religious exercise.

15. Failure to comply with the PWFA Rule exposes the CBA Plaintiffs to severe penalties. The EEOC similarly may initiate civil lawsuits and agency enforcement actions that expose them to compensatory damages, punitive damages, and attorneys' fees. 42 U.S.C. § 2000gg-2.

16. At the same time that the EEOC was rewriting the PWFA, it issued new "enforcement guidance" for sexual harassment under Title VII that purport to apply its seventy year old prohibition of "sex" discrimination to include speech and conduct related to "abortion," "contraception," "gender identity" and to mandate use of false pronouns and improper access to single sex spaces when requested by transgender employees. *See* Harassment Guidance, ¶ II(A)(5). The EEOC's Harassment Guidance is in utter disregard of sincere Catholic beliefs for employers like the CBA Plaintiffs and contrary to RFRA and various constitutional protections.

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

17. The Court has subject matter jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1361 because this action arises under the Constitution and U.S. laws. The Court has jurisdiction to render declaratory and injunctive relief under 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201 and 2202, and 42 U.S.C. § 2000bb-1.

Venue lies in this district under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(e)(1). With its principal office in
 Bismarck, Plaintiff, the Bismarck Diocese, resides in this district and this division.

III. PARTIES

A. Plaintiffs

1. The Bismarck Diocese

19. The Bismarck Diocese (also known as the Roman Catholic Diocese of Bismarck) is that "portion of the people of God," located in twenty-four counties in western North Dakota, "which is entrusted to [the Bishop of Bismarck] for him to shepherd" in cooperation with his priests. *See* Code of Canon Law, c. 369 (1983). The Diocese carries out the spiritual, educational, and social service mission of the Catholic Church in western North Dakota. Its Bishop is the Most Reverend David Dennis Kagan. He exercises pastoral care and canonical support for more than 93 parishes, missions, and other Catholic ministries within the Diocese.

20. The Bismarck Diocese is a member of the Catholic Benefits Association.

21. The Bismarck Diocese has approximately sixty employees and, therefore, is an "employer" within the meaning of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e(b), and the PWFA, 42 U.S.C. § 2000gg(2).

22. The Bismarck Diocese has an Office of Family Life whose mission is to foster a culture of life and to proclaim and defend the God-given, inviolable dignity of every person. Because "[h]uman life must be respected and protected absolutely from the moment of conception,"

Case 1:24-cv-00142-CRH Document 1 Filed 07/24/24 Page 10 of 58

direct abortion is never permitted. *Catechism of the Catholic Church* ("CCC"), ¶¶ 2270-75 (2d ed. 2016). The Diocese endeavors to support women in reconciliation and spiritual healing after having an abortion. For example, the Office of Family Life runs Project Rachel, a post-abortion ministry that offers hope and healing for those who suffer from the spiritual and emotional pain of abortion and its aftermath.

23. The Bismarck Diocese teaches that reproductive technologies and procedures like gamete donation that dissociates husband and wife, like artificial insemination that dissociates the sexual act from the procreative act, and like IVF that necessarily destroys fertilized ova are morally unacceptable. CCC, ¶¶ 2275, 2273-79.

24. The Diocese regularly speaks and teaches regarding Catholic beliefs, including abortion, sex and sexuality, marriage, and the family. This includes the Diocese's monthly newsletter, *Dakota Catholic Action*. The June 2024 issue, for example, includes an article, "A Theological Response to Gender Ideology" that discusses how Catholics should respond to gender ideology. The article makes clear that while "Pope Francis has insisted that the Church accompany those who identify as transgender, provide them with loving pastoral care and respect their dignity, he also has consistently condemned gender ideology, recently calling it 'the ugliest ideology of our time.'" 83 Dakota Catholic Action 6, p. 26 (June 2024), https://files.ecatholic.com/2950/documents/2024/5/June24DCA.pdf?t=1717094882000. "Just as a human person is necessarily composed of both body and soul, so too the person is sexually differentiated as either male or female. Being male or female is not like a garment that one can take on or off, but rather is constitutive of the person. Contrary to the tenets of gender ideology, God did not create non-binary, third sex or gender-fluid beings, but rather a binary complement of male and female." *Id.*

Case 1:24-cv-00142-CRH Document 1 Filed 07/24/24 Page 11 of 58

25. The Diocese does not make accommodation for employees to engage in the violation of the moral teachings of the Church, including respect for human life.

26. The Diocese does not and will not provide any workplace accommodation for an employee to obtain a direct abortion, an immoral fertility treatment, or transgender affirmation through use of false pronouns or improper access to single sex spaces.

27. The Diocese will take appropriate adverse employment action against any applicant or employee who encourages another person to obtain a direct abortion, immoral fertility treatment, or transgender affirmation through use of false pronouns or improper access to single sex spaces or to request an accommodation for a direct abortion.

28. The Diocese will take appropriate adverse employment action against any applicant, employee, or former employee whose speech, advocacy, or conduct undermines Catholic teachings about direct abortion, immoral fertility treatment, or transgender affirmation through use of false pronouns or improper access to single sex spaces.

29. The Diocese adheres to the teachings of the Catholic Church regarding gender transition and the use of false pronouns stated by Archbishop Hebda in paragraphs 8 through 21 of his declaration attached as Exhibit F.

2. The Catholic Benefits Association

30. The CBA is a § 501(c)(3) nonprofit, non-stock corporation and Catholic ministry. Its certificate of incorporation states that it is "organized for charitable purposes" that are "consistent with Catholic values, doctrine, and canon law." Specifically, it states that the CBA is organized "[t]o support Catholic employers ... that, as part of their religious witness and exercise, provide health or other benefits to their respective employees in a manner that is consistent with Catholic values"; and "[t]o work and advocate for religious freedom of Catholic and other employers

Case 1:24-cv-00142-CRH Document 1 Filed 07/24/24 Page 12 of 58

seeking to conduct their ministries and businesses according to their religious values." *See* Ex. A, Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Catholic Benefits Association ("CBA Articles"), art. IV.

31. Archbishop William E. Lori of Baltimore is chairman of the CBA's board of directors.

32. Nine of the CBA's directors are Catholic archbishops or bishops. They are Archbishop Gregory M. Aymond of New Orleans, Archbishop Paul S. Coakley of Oklahoma City, Archbishop Salvatore Cordileone of San Francisco, Bishop John T. Folda of Fargo, Archbishop Bernard A. Hebda of Saint Paul and Minneapolis, Archbishop Jerome E. Listecki of Milwaukee, Archbishop William E. Lori of Baltimore, Archbishop Joseph F. Naumann of Kansas City in Kansas, and Archbishop Thomas G. Wenski of Miami. Two of its directors are religious women, Mother Agnes Mary Donovan, S.V., Superior General of the Sisters of Life; and Sister Mary Peter Muehlenkamp, O.P., J.D., In House Counsel for the St. Cecilia Congregation of the Dominican Sisters of Nashville. Other directors are Catholic lay leaders.

33. The Catholic Benefits Association is itself a Catholic ministry. Its *raison d'être* is to protect the freedom of its members so they might conduct their ministries and their work consistently with Catholic values. This includes the CBA members' freedom to design and implement employment policies and practices, to provide medical services to patients, and to speak, write, preach, and teach with regard to subjects like sex, marriage, abortion, infertility treatment, and gender transition.

34. All of the CBA's directors, officers, employees, and members are Catholic.

35. The CBA has a standing Ethics Committee, comprised exclusively of the archbish-

ops and bishops on its board. The CBA's Bylaws state:

The Ethics Committee shall have exclusive authority to review all benefits, products, and services provided by the Ministry, its affiliates or subsidiaries, or their respective contractors to ensure such conform with Catholic values and doctrine. If they do not, the committee shall determine the necessary corrections to bring such benefits, products, and services into conformity with Catholic values and doctrine. The decision of the committee shall be final and binding on the Ministry, its board, and its officers

Ex. B, CBA Third Amended and Restated Bylaws ("CBA Bylaws"), art. 5.14.2.

36. To be a member of the CBA, an organization must be a Catholic employer as described in paragraphs 37 and 38, *infra. See* Ex. B, CBA Bylaws, arts. 3.1.1, 3.1.2; *see also* Ex. C, CBA Church or Church Affiliate Employer Membership Application; Ex. D, CBA Private Employer Membership Application.

37. The CBA Bylaws provide that its nonprofit employer members must be listed in the current edition of *The Official Catholic Directory* or certified by the CBA's secretary as being Catholic. Ex. B, art. § 3.1.1.1.

38. The Bylaws further provide that for-profit employers seeking membership in the CBA can satisfy the membership requirement of being Catholic "only if (i) Catholics (or trusts or other entities wholly controlled by such Catholic individuals) own 51% or more of employer, [and] (ii) 51% or more of the members of the employer's governing body, if any, is comprised of Catholics, and (iii) either the employer's owners or [its] governing body has adopted a written policy stating that the employer commitment" that with "regard to the benefits it provides to its employees, independent contractors, or students, or with regard to the health care services it provides to its patients, the employer shall, as part of its religious witness and exercise, be committed to providing no such benefits or services inconsistent with Catholic values." Ex. B, arts. §§ 3.1.1.2, 3.1.2.

Case 1:24-cv-00142-CRH Document 1 Filed 07/24/24 Page 14 of 58

39. All members of the CBA meet its criteria for being Catholic.

40. CBA members include 85 Catholic dioceses and archdioceses. Its members total over 1,380 Catholic employers plus 7,100 Catholic parishes.

41. CBA members include dioceses, parishes, religious orders, schools, charities, colleges, hospitals, and other Catholic ministries along with Catholic-owned businesses.

42. A substantial portion of its members have fifteen or more employees and, thus, are "employers" within the meaning of PWFA, 42 U.S.C. § 2000gg(2), and Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e(b).

43. The PWFA Rule and the Harassment Guidance thus constrain CBA members' ability to form their employees in Catholic values, to direct them consistently with those values, and to speak and act consistently with their sincere Catholic beliefs about abortion, fertility, and human sexuality. They thereby burden the religious exercise of CBA members.

3. The CBA's Associational Standing

44. The CBA has associational standing to represent its present and future members.

45. To have associational standing, the Eighth Circuit has held in litigation challenging the EEOC's and HHS's position that Title VII and Section 1557 of the Affordable Care Act require healthcare coverage for gender transitions, that the CBA must, through testimony other than "the organizations' self-description of their membership," identify at least one member who would have standing to sue in its own right. *Religious Sisters of Mercy v. Becerra*, 55 F.4th 583, 602 (8th Cir. 2022).

46. This complaint is verified by the CBA's Chief Executive Officer, the Chairman of its Board, and the Bishop of Bismarck. The Bismarck Diocese is a specifically named plaintiff that has suffered the requisite harm from the PWFA Rule and the Harassment Guidance.

Case 1:24-cv-00142-CRH Document 1 Filed 07/24/24 Page 15 of 58

47. In addition, CBA Plaintiffs attaches to this complaint, two declarations from nonplaintiff members of the CBA that specifically identify the following CBA members and that would have standing in their own right and have suffered the requisite harm. These include:

- a. Exhibit E: Declaration of Bishop Liam Cary on behalf of the Diocese of Baker; and
- b. Exhibit F: Archbishop Bernard Hebda on behalf the Diocese of Saint Paul and Minneapolis.

48. Bishop Cary's declaration explains, among other things, that the PWFA Rule would force Catholic employers to accommodate, facilitate, and accept employee conduct in violation of Catholic teaching on abortion, sex, sexuality, marriage, and family. Because *all* people are imbued by God with dignity, Ex. E, Cary Decl. at \P 8, the Church cannot accommodate direct abortion, *id*. $\P\P$ 10-13, and will take appropriate adverse employment action for employees who procure or encourage direct abortion, *id*. $\P\P$ 13-16. For similar reasons, the Church cannot accommodate or facilitate immoral infertility "treatments" that deprive children of being conceived through the loving act of their parents, as opposed to technicians in a lab, or destroy "excess" embryos created in the process of artificial reproduction. *Id*. $\P\P$ 18-21.

49. Archbishop Hebda explains, in his declaration, that the Harassment Guidance would force Catholic employers to "act against central, unchangeable and architectural teachings of the Catholic faith" by identifying others "by a sex other than their God-gifted sex." Ex. F, Hebda Decl. at \P 8. He says, "[t]o call a male a female or vice-versa asserts contrary to that passage from the Book of Genesis that we human beings make ourselves, and that our biological sex and sexual complementarity are 'not good.' It implies also that we are not 'in God's image'- male and female made for mutual gift-giving, permanent love and procreation." *Id.* \P 10.

Case 1:24-cv-00142-CRH Document 1 Filed 07/24/24 Page 16 of 58

50. Archbishop Hebda also explains why use of false pronouns as may be requested by

a gender-transitioning employee violate Catholic values. He explains that that such a practice is contrary to Catholic values because it:***

- a. "Contradicts the teachings of the Bible," *id.* ¶¶ 8-9,
- b. Denies that humans are made in God's image, *Id.* ¶¶ 9-10,
- c. Denies the "complementary and reciprocal relations between a man and a woman in marriage," *id.* ¶ 9,
- d. Suggests that "human beings make ourselves," *id.* ¶¶ 10, 17,
- e. "Contradicts reason and truth," *id.* ¶ 8,
- f. Denies biological fact, *id*. ¶ 12,
- g. "Betray[s] our sacred obligation not to knowingly harm other persons," *id.*¶¶ 8, 15-16,
- h. Requires Catholic employers to lie, *id*. ¶¶ 13-14,
- i. Lessens our understanding of the nature of God, *id.* ¶ 11, and
- j. Requires a Catholic employer "to avow another religious world view. *id.* ¶¶
 8, 17.

51. Archbishop Hebda comments further that it would be inconsistent with the faith of Catholic employers to accommodate employee requests that are contrary to Catholic teaching. *Id.* $\P\P$ 8-22.

52. The dioceses of Bismarck, St. Paul and Minneapolis, and Baker each employ more than fifteen individuals. Because of their Catholic values, they oppose providing abortion accommodation; oppose immoral infertility procedure accommodation; and oppose being required to

Case 1:24-cv-00142-CRH Document 1 Filed 07/24/24 Page 17 of 58

speak or adopt policies in support of direct abortion, gender transition, immoral infertility procedures, or gender transition. They also oppose any requirement to identify someone, through the use of false pronouns, by a sex other than their God-gifted sex; and they oppose requirements to allow persons of the opposite sex to have access to spaces reserved for the other sex.

53. Through Bishop Kagan's verification of this complaint and the declarations from two non-plaintiff CBA members, the CBA Plaintiffs have, through sworn testimony, identified to this Court three CBA members by name each of whom has over fifteen employees, each of whom are, because of their Catholic values morally opposed to accommodating access to direct abortion, accommodating immoral fertility treatment such as IVF, referring to someone as a sex other than their biological sex, or allowing persons of the opposite sex to access space reserved for one sex. Accordingly, they have suffered the requisite harm and satisfied other requirements for standing.

54. The CBA can adequately represent its members' interests. CBA members are similarly situated in that the Defendants' PWFA Rule coerces CBA members to accommodate employees seeking direct abortions and immoral infertility treatment, all in violation of members' sincerely held Catholic beliefs.

55. The Harassment Guidance harms CBA's members by threatening enforcement for use of pronouns consistent with an employee's God-gifted sex, reserving private spaces to one-sex, and speaking in opposition to the immoral practice of abortion.

56. The CBA can adequately represent its members' interests. CBA members are similarly situated in that the Harassment Guidance coerces them: to use pronouns contrary to an employee's God-gifted sex, to allow employees of one sex to access private spaces reserved to those

Case 1:24-cv-00142-CRH Document 1 Filed 07/24/24 Page 18 of 58

of the opposite sex, and to abstain from speaking, adopting policies, and acting in opposition to the immoral practice of direct abortion or IVF.

57. The CBA brings this action on behalf of itself and its members who have suffered and will suffer concrete harm as a result of Defendants' actions.

B. Defendants

58. Defendant, Charlotte Burrows, is the Chair of the EEOC, and the EEOC is the federal agency that is responsible for promulgating, administering, and enforcing the PWFA Rule and the Harassment Guidance. She is sued only in her official capacity. The EEOC is responsible for, among other things, investigating complaints and bringing enforcement actions against employers for discrimination "because of ... sex" in violation of Title VII. The EEOC is also directed to "provide examples of reasonable accommodations addressing known limitations related to pregnancy, childbirth, or related medical conditions." 42 U.S.C. § 2000gg-3.

IV. PLAINTIFFS' CATHOLIC BELIEFS AND PRACTICES RELATED TO ABOR-TION, FERTILITY TREATMENT, AND SEXUALITY

59. All Plaintiffs and all CBA members are Catholic ministries or Catholic-owned businesses that believe and practice the teachings of the Catholic Church on the nature of the human person, the dignity of humankind, the right to life, the right of conscience and religious freedom, and related ethical issues.

60. The Catholic Church teaches that all people are created in the image and likeness of God and are thus imbued with human dignity. CCC, \P 1701.

61. It proclaims "the equal dignity of all people, regardless of their living conditions or qualities," Declaration of the Dicastery for the Doctrine of the Faith "Dignitas Infinita" on Human Dignity \P 17 (Apr. 4, 2024),

Case 1:24-cv-00142-CRH Document 1 Filed 07/24/24 Page 19 of 58

https://press.vatican.va/content/salastampa/en/bollettino/publico/2024/04/08/240408c.html ("*Dignitatis Infinita*"), because: (1) revelation "holds that the dignity of the human person comes from the love of the Creator, who has imprinted the indelible features of his image on every person (cf. Gen. 1:26)," *id.* ¶ 18; (2) "the dignity of the human person was revealed in its fullness when the Father sent his Son, who assumed human existence to the full: 'In the mystery of the Incarnation, the Son of God confirmed the dignity of the body and soul which constitute the human being," *id.* ¶ 19 (citation omitted); and (3) all human beings' "ultimate destiny" is "communion with God, destined to last forever." *Id.* ¶ 20 (cleaned up).

62. The Church teaches that this belief affects all social, political, and economic relationships. *Id.* \P 1.

63. For example, the Church's teachings against abortion and euthanasia are inextricably paired to its teachings in favor of: a preferential option for the poor, care for migrants, and charity for people with disabilities. *See id.* \P 36-40, 47, 51-54.

64. "[T]he Church . . . ardently urges that respect for the dignity of the human person beyond all circumstances be placed at the center of the commitment to the common good and at the center of every legal system." Id. \P 64 (emphasis original).

A. Catholic Teaching on Abortion

65. The Catechism of the Catholic Church teaches that life begins at conception and that "[h]uman life must be respected and protected absolutely from the moment of conception." CCC ¶ 2270. Thus, elective or "[d]irect abortion, that is to say, abortion willed either as an end or a means, is gravely contrary to the moral law." CCC ¶ 2271, *see also Dignitas Infinita*, ¶ 47 (quoting John Paul II, Encyclical Letter *Evangelium Vitae* ¶ 58 (March 25, 1995)).

Case 1:24-cv-00142-CRH Document 1 Filed 07/24/24 Page 20 of 58

66. While direct abortion is never permitted for Catholics, medications and medical procedures "that have as their direct purpose the cure of a proportionately serious pathological condition of a pregnant woman are permitted when they cannot be safely postponed until the unborn child is viable,"—"even if they will result in the death of the unborn child." United States Conference of Catholic Bishops, *Ethical and Religious Directives for Catholic Health Care Services*, $\P\P$ 45, 47 (6th ed. 2018) ("ERD").

67. Catholics' public witness is especially important regarding abortion.

68. Accordingly, "[Catholics] need now more than ever to have the courage to look the truth in the eye and to call things by their proper name, without yielding to convenient compromises or to the temptation of self-deception." *Dignitatis Infinita*, ¶ 47, (citing Is. 5:20).

69. "Especially in the case of abortion, there is a widespread use of ambiguous terminology, such as 'interruption of pregnancy,' which tends to hide abortion's true nature and to attenuate its seriousness in public opinion." *Id.*

70. Catholic believe they must speak accurately about the nature of abortion. *Id.*

B. Catholic Teaching on Artificial Reproductive Technology

71. The Catechism of the Catholic Church, expresses that the sexual relationship between spouses is more than mere biology, John Paul II, Apostolic Exhortation, *Familiaris Consortio* \P 11 (1981), and the conception of a child is the most serious role of spouses, involving co-creation with God and holding that each child is to be received as a gift from the Creator. CCC $\P\P$ 2367, 2378.

Case 1:24-cv-00142-CRH Document 1 Filed 07/24/24 Page 21 of 58

72. The Catechism acknowledges the sorrow caused by infertility and supports the use of some reproductive technologies that restore normal fertility to marital intercourse, CCC (§ 2375), preserving its unitive and procreative purposes, ERD (§ 38.

73. However, methods that involve third parties (medical technicians, donor gametes, or surrogate wombs); separate fertilization from the conjugal act; or methods that entail conception outside of a marriage recognized as valid by the Church are a violation of the dignity of the persons involved and are gravely immoral. ERD at \P 38.

74. Catholics also cannot participate in fertility treatments like IVF that result in the destruction of fertilized ova.

75. Accordingly, infertility treatments that support the procreative and unitive nature of marriage, for example hormonal support, are permissible. *Id.* at p. 17, \P 38.

76. This teaching, too, flows from the Church's belief in the human dignity of all people. For example, "the practice of surrogacy violates the dignity of the child," because "the child has the right to have a fully human (and not artificially induced) origin and to receive the gift of a life that manifests both the dignity of the giver and that of the receiver." *Dignitas Infinita* ¶ 49. It also violates the dignity of the couple who hire the surrogate: "the legitimate desire to have a child cannot be transformed into a 'right to a child' that fails to respect the dignity of that child as the recipient of the gift of life." *Id.*

C. Catholic Teaching on Gender Ideology

77. The book of Genesis establishes that God made human beings "in his image, male and female," and declared this to be "good." Genesis 1:27-31. Jesus repeats this scripture in the course of his teachings about the unbreakable complementary and reciprocal relations between a

Case 1:24-cv-00142-CRH Document 1 Filed 07/24/24 Page 22 of 58

man and a woman in marriage. Matthew 19:4-6. Furthermore, the Bible uses the image of a man and a woman in marriage to help humans understand God and God's love. In the Old Testament, God refers to himself as the faithful bridegroom. In the New Testament, Jesus also refers to himself as the bridegroom and instructs that men and women are to love him as a bride loves her husband, and to love one another as He loved them. John 13:34. Denying the givenness of male and female, and the family based upon this reciprocal relationship of opposite sexes, thus obscures a central image of God and the love command at the heart of Christianity. It also destroys the anthropological basis for the family, society's source of life, health, stability and progress.

78. Reason naturally affirms faith on this matter, because God is the author of all reason and creation. Sex is inscribed into every cell in the human body. "Transgender medicine" can change surface appearance but never sex.

79. Requiring a person to identify another by a sex other than his or her God-gifted sex would be to require such a person to act against central, unchangeable and architectural teachings of the Catholic faith. It would also contradict the teachings of the Bible concerning God's creative sovereignty, contradict reason and truth, and betray our sacred obligation not to knowingly harm other persons, particularly the most vulnerable. The implications are so much greater than whether to utter the words "he" or "she." Instead, to demand that a Catholic deny another's sex is asking him or her to avow another religious worldview.

80. The sex of men and women is inscribed into every cell in their bodies. Medical intervention can only alter appearances but never sex itself. Scripture forbids lying to another about reality. CCC ¶ 2483.

Case 1:24-cv-00142-CRH Document 1 Filed 07/24/24 Page 23 of 58

81. Catholic teaching affirms that each person's soul is made for the body it inhabits and thus it is never in the "wrong body." In addition, the body is not a mere "thing" to be manipulated by mind or will, like other things in the world. It is, rather, sacred. CCC \P 364; U.S. Conference of Catholic Bishops, Committee on Doctrine, *Doctrinal Note on the Moral Limits to Technological Manipulation of the Human Body* 4.

82. Lying about a person's sex, including through use of false pronouns, also harms vulnerable human beings in many other ways. Lying about sex often serves to confirm a vulnerable person's psychological dysphoria and may interfere with that person's healthy growth and identity formation. Using false pronouns also confounds the psychological process by which people grow to understand themselves, including the distinctions inherent between persons of the opposite sex. Requiring someone to mis-identify another, including by a false pronoun, will knowingly obstruct the other person's development. *See* Congregation for Catholic Education, *"Male and Female He Created Them": Towards a Path of Dialogue on the Question of Gender Theory in Education*, 26, 33, 35.

83. The Church has recently reemphasized its rejection of "gender theory." See Dignitas Infinita \P 56 ("Pope Francis has reminded us that 'the path to peace calls for respect for human rights . . . Regrettably, in recent decades, attempts have been made to introduce new rights that are neither fully consistent with those originally defined nor always acceptable. They have led to instances of ideological colonization, in which gender theory plays a central role; the latter is extremely dangerous since it cancels differences in its claim to make everyone equal.'") (quoting Pope Francis, Address to Members of the Diplomatic Corps Accredited to the Holy See for the Presentation of New Year's Greetings (8 January 2024)). "Desiring a personal self-determination, as gender theory prescribes, apart from this fundamental truth that human life is a gift, amounts to a

Case 1:24-cv-00142-CRH Document 1 Filed 07/24/24 Page 24 of 58

concession to the age-old temptation to make oneself God, entering into competition with the true God of love revealed to us in the Gospel." *Id.* ¶ 57. "This ideology 'envisages a society without sexual differences, thereby eliminating the anthropological basis of the family.' [But] '[w]e cannot separate the masculine and the feminine from God's work of creation, which is prior to all our decisions and experiences, and where biological elements exist which are impossible to ignore.' Only by acknowledging and accepting this difference in reciprocity can each person fully discover themselves, their dignity, and their identity." *Id.* ¶ 59 (quoting Pope Francis, Apostolic Exhortation *Amoris Laetitia*, ¶ 56, 286 (19 March 2016)).

84. Requiring a Catholic institution to admit persons of one sex into a space reserved for the opposite sex violates the Catholic theological commitment to modesty—the preservation of respect for the dignity of the person. In many circumstances, such a requirement would also undercut CBA members' concern for an environment safe from embarrassment or misconduct.

V. THE PREGNANT WORKERS FAIRNESS ACT, TITLE VII, AND EEOC'S RULES FOR EACH

A. The Pregnant Workers Fairness Act

85. The PWFA was passed by a bipartisan coalition to provide protection for pregnant women seeking workplace accommodations. Prior to this law, federal protections for pregnant workers were limited and patchwork.

86. There is limited coverage for pregnancy discrimination in Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended by the Pregnancy Discrimination Act of 1978 (PDA). Title VII's prohibition on discrimination "because of ... sex" includes actions taken "because of or on the basis of pregnancy, childbirth, or related medical conditions." 42 U.S.C. § 2000e(k). And women "affected by pregnancy, childbirth, or related medical conditions shall be treated the same for all

Case 1:24-cv-00142-CRH Document 1 Filed 07/24/24 Page 25 of 58

employment-related purposes ... as other persons not so affected but similar in their ability or inability to work." *Id.*

87. Title VII does not require employers to affirmatively accommodate women's pregnancies, childbirth, or pregnancy-related medical conditions unless the employer provides the accommodation to comparator workers who are limited in their ability to work for reasons unrelated to pregnancy. *See Young v. United Parcel Serv., Inc.*, 575 U.S. 206, 229-30 (2015).

88. The Americans with Disability Act (ADA) requires accommodations for employees experiencing a qualifying disability, 42 U.S.C. S 12112(a), the ADA in general does not treat pregnancy itself as a qualifying disability. *See Gudenkauf v. Stauffer Commc'ns*, *Inc.*, 922 F. Supp. 465, 473 (D. Kan. 1996) (collecting cases).

89. The Family Medical Leave Act (FMLA) provides for women to take up to 12 weeks of unpaid leave for a serious health event, including pregnancy or childbirth. *See* 29 U.S.C. § 2612(a)(l)(D). FMLA only applies, however, when the woman has previously worked for the employer for 12 months and employers may terminate the employee taking leave if they do not return at the end of the 12 weeks. 29 USC S 2611 § (2)(A).

1. The PWFA Protects Pregnant Women and Their Babies

90. To address these gaps in coverage for pregnant women in the workplace Congress passed the PWFA in December 2022. *See* Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2023, div. II, Pub. L. No. 117-328, 136 Stat. 4486, 6084 (2022). The PWFA aimed to protect pregnant women in the workforce by requiring employers to provide workplace accommodations for "pregnancy, childbirth, or related medical conditions." *Id.* § 2000gg(4).

91. Under the PWFA employers are required to accommodate any "known limitation related to the pregnancy, childbirth, or related medical conditions." 42 U.S.C. § 2000gg-1(1). A

Case 1:24-cv-00142-CRH Document 1 Filed 07/24/24 Page 26 of 58

known limitation is defined as a "physical or mental condition related to, affected by, or arising out of pregnancy, childbirth, or related medical conditions." *Id.* § 2000gg(4).

92. The PWFA also prohibits employers from taking adverse employment actions or denying employment opportunities because an employee requested a reasonable accommodation. Employers may not discriminate against pregnant women who seek an accommodation. Prohibited practices include: (1) refusing to provide reasonable accommodations; (2) forcing an employee to accept an accommodation that is not reasonable; (3) denying employment opportunities because of the employee's need for a reasonable accommodation; (4) forcing an employee to take paid or unpaid leave rather than providing a reasonable accommodation; or (5) taking an adverse employment action against an employee because they requested a reasonable accommodation. *Id.* § 2000gg-1.

93. A reasonable accommodation might include: enhanced accessibility to the workplace; job restructuring (for example, part-time status, reassignment to vacant position); remote work and telework; the option to change worksites, to take bathroom, food, or hydration breaks; lifting devices, accessing paid leave; preferred parking; unpaid time off for medical appointments or childbirth; and more. *See generally* 88 Fed. Reg. at 54,768 (listing accommodations).

94. A "qualified employee" is one "who, with or without reasonable accommodation, can perform the essential functions of the employment position." 42 U.S.C.A. § 2000gg(6). She remains "qualified" notwithstanding her "inability to perform an essential function ... for a temporary period;" "The essential function [cannot] be performed [until] the near future;" or "The employee cannot perform the essential functions unless she is "reasonably accommodated." *Id.*

Case 1:24-cv-00142-CRH Document 1 Filed 07/24/24 Page 27 of 58

95. The PWFA requires employers to engage in an "interactive process" with employees to "determine the appropriate reasonable accommodation."42 U.S.C. § 2000gg(7).

96. A consequence of this required "interactive process" is that a Catholic employer is more likely to become aware of an employee's immoral acts that, before the PWFA, might have remain hidden or left undiscussed. Taking an adverse action against the employee in this situation would likely run afoul of the anti-retaliation provision in the PWFA.

97. The PWFA also bars retaliation and interference against pregnant women: (i) "[n]o person shall discriminate against any employee because such employee has opposed any act or practice made unlawful by this chapter," *id.* § 2000gg-2(f)(1), and (ii) "[i]t shall be unlawful to coerce, intimidate, threaten, or interfere with any individual in the exercise or enjoyment of, or on account of such individual having exercised or enjoyed, or on account of such individual having aided or encouraged any other individual in the exercise or enjoyment of, any right granted or protected by this chapter." *Id.* § 2000gg-2(f)(2).

98. Employers with fifteen or more employees are covered by the PWFA. 42 U.S.C.A.§ 2000gg(2)(B).

99. The PWFA provides an exclusion regarding insurance coverage: "Nothing in [the PWFA] shall be construed ... by regulation or otherwise, to require an employer-sponsored health plan to pay for or cover any particular item, procedure, or treatment ..." 42 U.S.C. § 2000gg-5(a)(2).

100. The PWFA provides for private enforcement actions from private parties once administrative procedures have been exhausted. In addition, the EEOC has investigative and enforcement powers akin to those in Title VII. 42 U.S.C. § 2000gg-2(a)(1).

Case 1:24-cv-00142-CRH Document 1 Filed 07/24/24 Page 28 of 58

101. The PWFA required the EEOC to adopt regulations by December 29, 2022 and provide examples of reasonable accommodations. 42 U.S.C. § 2000gg-3.

2. The EEOC Proposed Rule Interprets PWFA to Require Employers to Accommodate Abortion and Immoral Infertility Treatment.

102. The PWFA directed the EEOC to adopt a rule to implement the PWFA by December 29, 2022. 42 U.S.C.A. § 2000gg-3(a). The EEOC promulgated a proposed rule, Regulations to Implement the Pregnant Workers Fairness Act, on August. 11, 2022. 88 Fed. Reg. 54,714 (Aug. 11, 2023).

103. The NPRM stated that "having ... an abortion" is included in the "examples of pregnancy, childbirth, or related medical conditions." *Id.* at 54,774. The implications of mandating direct abortion accommodations are immense: covered employers would be required to support and devote resources, including by providing extra leave time, to assist employees' decision to terminate fetal life. *Id.* at 54,730.

104. The EEOC proposed to define "pregnancy, childbirth, and related medical conditions" as including "current pregnancy; past pregnancy; potential or intended pregnancy [which can include infertility, fertility treatment, and the use of contraception]; labor; and childbirth ..." *Id.* at 54,767. The following non-exhaustive list provides examples of conditions that are, or may be, ''related medical conditions'': termination of pregnancy, including via miscarriage, stillbirth, or abortion." *Id.*

105. The proposed rule would require an employer to accommodate an employee's medical needs even when she seeks direct abortion or IVF, or other services contrary to Catholic values by engaging in an interactive process to become aware of the employee's condition and desires and how to reasonably accommodate them. *Id*.

Case 1:24-cv-00142-CRH Document 1 Filed 07/24/24 Page 29 of 58

106. As to IVF, the NPRM asserts that an employee seeking time off or requesting leave "for IVF treatment" would be protected as a related medical condition. 88 Fed. Reg. at 54,720. Likewise, the NPRM considered how a "schedule change" may be required for an employee to "attend a round of IVF appointments" *Id.* at 54,782, *see also* 54,733, 54,774. The NPRM leaves no doubt: employers will be required to provide accommodation, including leave and schedule changes, to facilitate IVF treatment.

107. The NPRM generated substantial comments opposing the radical expansion of the PWFA statute to include conduct, from direct abortion to IVF, that were not included in the statute passed by Congress. As the EEOC recognized, approximately 54,000 comments urged "the Commission to exclude abortion from the definition of 'pregnancy, childbirth, or related medical conditions.'" 89 Fed. Reg. 29,096, 29,104.

108. Comments critical of the EEOC's proposed abortion accommodation revision included many key supports of the PWFA legislation, such as lead sponsor Senator Bill Cassidy; other leading legislative proponents, like Representative Virginia Foxx; as well as the United States Conference for Catholic Bishops, The Christian Employers Alliance, Comment Letter on PWFA Proposed Rule, 2 (Oct. 10, 2023), https://downloads.regulations.gov/EEOC-2023-0004-97876/attachment_1.pdf; and The Alliance Defending Freedom, Comment Letter on PWFA Proposed Rule, 4-9 (Oct. 2, 2023), https://downloads.regulations.gov/EEOC-2023-0004-49357/attachment 1.pdf.

109. As co-sponsor, Senator Cassidy's comment highlighted that the sponsors of the legislation understood that the PWFA did not apply to abortion, as clearly expressed by Democrat cosponsor Bob Casey's statement that "under the act ... the EEOC, could not—could not—issue

Case 1:24-cv-00142-CRH Document 1 Filed 07/24/24 Page 30 of 58

any regulation that requires abortion leave." 168 Cong. Rec. S7050 (daily ed. Dec. 8, 2022) (Sen. Casey statement). In the face of unmistakable decision by Congress *not* to mandate leave for abortion as part of the PWFA, the EEOC did just that.

110. In fact, no Member of Congress who supported the PWFA ever claimed the law would cover abortion. And given the well-known political realities, if the proposed law would have included abortion, it would have drastically changed the bi-partisan coalition of support for the PWFA.

3. The EEOC's PWFA Rule Requires Employers to Accommodate Abortion and Immoral Infertility Treatment

111. The EEOC was unphased by the overwhelmingly broad opposition to the NPRM adding abortion to the PWFA. The PWFA Rule published April 19, 2024 mentions "abortion" 348 times, and in substance, implements the abortion accommodation and fertility treatment accommodations for IVF that were covered in the PWFA Rule.

112. As in the NPRM, the EEOC expands the definition of "pregnancy, childbirth, or related medical conditions" to include "termination of pregnancy, including ... abortion" and "fertility treatment" *See* 89 Fed. Reg. at 29,183, 29 CFR § 1636.3(b). Fertility treatment, according to the EEOC, includes treatments for immoral infertility treatments like IVF. *Id.* at 29,102, 29,190 ("An employee who requests leave for in vitro fertilization treatment for the employee to get pregnant has a limitation, either related to potential or intended pregnancy or a medical condition related to pregnancy [difficulty in becoming pregnant or infertility], and is seeking health care related to, affected by, or arising out of it.").

113. This unnatural interpretation of the PWFA lacks any basis in the text of the recently passed statute which says nothing about abortion or IVF treatment. Likewise, the expansion of the

Case 1:24-cv-00142-CRH Document 1 Filed 07/24/24 Page 31 of 58

PWFA to cover activities widely recognized as inconsistent with the Catholic faith contradicts the robust legislative history that evinces a broad coalition of bipartisan supporters who understood that the pro-woman and pro-baby legislation had nothing to do with abortion or immoral infertility treatments.

114. Contrary to the plain meaning of the PWFA text and confirming legislative history, the EEOC contends the "plain meaning" of the statute, including "pregnancy, childbirth, or related medical conditions', 89 Fed. Reg. at 29,106, requires abortion to be covered since the Commission has interpreted 'pregnancy, childbirth, or related medical conditions' in Title VII to include the decision to have—or not to have—an abortion and to prohibit discrimination in employment practices because an employee had or did not have an abortion." *Id.* This reflects the "plain meaning" of the phrase since "[b]y definition, individuals who are choosing whether or not to have an abortion are pregnant." *Id.*

115. This meaning, interpreting a "known limitation" that "relates to pregnancy, childbirth, or related medication conditions" to include direct abortion, was not plain to any supporter of the PWFA in Congress. The intentional termination of pregnancy is not a *limitation* or *condition* relating to pregnancy.

116. The EEOC supported its pro-abortion interpretation of the PWFA by pointing to its nonbinding enforcement guidance issued in 2015 regarding pregnancy discrimination under Title VII that claimed pregnancy discrimination "includes abortions." *Id.* at 29,106 n.65 (citing EEOC, *Enforcement Guidance on Pregnancy Discrimination and Related Issues*, at \P (I)(A)(4)(c) (2015), https://www.eeoc.gov/laws/guidance/enforcement-guidance-pregnancydiscriminationand-related-issues). Unlike the PWFA itself, the EEOC promulgated rule lacks any substantive

Case 1:24-cv-00142-CRH Document 1 Filed 07/24/24 Page 32 of 58

rulemaking authority under Title VII. Because EEOC expanded the PWFA to require accommodation for abortion, the prohibition against retaliation, under the EEOC's interpretation of the PWFA, restricts speech activity related to abortion. Because "a request for a reasonable accommodation," would cover direct abortion, the request would "constitute activity" under the retaliation prohibition of 42 U.S.C § 2000gg-2. *See* 89 Fed. Reg. at 29,188. The PWFA, according to the EEOC, would prohibit CBA's members from disciplining an employee or taking any adverse action on account of the employee seeking and obtaining a direct abortion, requesting resources in the form of an accommodation to get a direct abortion, encouraging other employees to seek a direct abortion, or speaking out against the CBA member's religious policies with regard to abortion.

117. The EEOC interprets the PWFA prohibition on harassment as applying to employee handbooks or policies that reject any effort to seek a direct abortion. It also may require employees to limit speech that would oppose any employee seeking an accommodation for getting a direct abortion or seeking immoral infertility treatment as a form of prohibited "harassment."

118. Two EEOC commissioners did not vote for the PWFA Rule, and one published a rare statement expressing dissent from the 3-2 final vote. Commissioner Andrea Lucas concluded the PWFA Rule "cannot reasonably be reconciled with the text" of the PWFA. Statement p.1, https://www.linkedin.com/posts/andrea-lucas-a5b27513_a-lucas-statement-re-vote-re-pwfa-fi-nal-activity-7185711161609232387-GtB1/. And the "Commission paradoxically interprets a statute requiring employers to accommodate a worker's pregnancy and childbirth into a provision that also requires accommodation of a worker's inability to become pregnant." *Id.* p.7.

Case 1:24-cv-00142-CRH Document 1 Filed 07/24/24 Page 33 of 58

119. Since the PWFA Rule was published, several states and religious groups have filed legal challenges to the rule. *See Texas v. Garland*, 2024 WL 967838 (N.D. Tex. Feb. 17, 2014) (enjoining administrative or other adjudication of PWFA claims against State of Texas because Congress, having passed the bill through proxy voting, violated the quorum rule in U.S. Const., art. I, sec. 5); *Tennessee et al v. EEOC*, No. 24-cv-84 (E.D. Ark. April 25, 2024); *Louisiana et al. v. EEOC*, No. 24-cv-00629 (W.D. La. May 13, 2024); *United States Conference of Catholic Bishops et al. v. EEOC*, No. 24-cv-691 (W.D. La. May 22, 2024).

120. The U.S. District Court for the Western District of Louisiana recently issued a preliminary injunction finding that "the EEOC has exceeded its statutory authority to implement the PWFA and, in doing so, both unlawfully expropriated the authority of Congress." *Id.*, ECF #53 at 2. That court noted: "The Court's inquiry starts with the fact that the PWFA makes no reference to abortion: it does not contain the word 'abortion' even once.... The decision to obtain purely elective abortion is not undertaken to treat a 'medical condition' related to pregnancy or childbirth ... the EEOC's arguments to the contrary amount to little more than semantic gymnastics." *Id.*, ECF #53 at 18, 20.

121. That court likewise addressed the legislative history as contravening the EEOC's novel interpretation of the PWFA:

[T]he Court sees this issue as even more straightforward. "Abortion" is a term that is readily understood by everyone. If Congress had intended to mandate that employers accommodate elective abortions under the PWFA, it would have spoken clearly when enacting the statute, particularly given the enormous social, religious, and political importance of the abortion issue in our nation at this time (and, indeed, over the past 50 years). The Court is therefore not persuaded, on the record before it, that Congress could reasonably be understood to have granted the EEOC the authority to interpret the scope of the PWFA in a way that imposes a nationwide mandate on both public and private employers – irrespective of applicable abortion-related state laws enacted in the wake of *Dobbs* – to provide workplace accommodation for the elective abortions of

employees.

Id., ECF #53 at 20.

B. Title VII and EEOC's Harassment Guidance

122. Title VII makes it unlawful for an employer to discriminate against an employee or prospective employee "because of such individual's ... sex." 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(a)(1).

123. Title VII applies to employers with fifteen or more employees." 42 U.S.C. § 2000e(b).

124. The U.S. Census Bureau estimates that there are over 875,000 employers in the United States with fifteen or more employees.⁷

125. Title VII contains an exemption for religious employers. It states that Title VII "shall not apply" to a religious organization's "employment of individuals of a particular religion." *See* 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-1(a). The statute defines "religion" broadly to include "all aspects of *religious observance and practice*, as well as belief." 42 U.S.C. § 2000e(j) (emphasis added).

126. Congress enacted the Pregnancy Discrimination Act in 1978 to further define what constitutes "sex" discrimination under Title VII. It specified that the terms "because of sex" or "on the basis of sex" include "because of or on the basis of pregnancy, childbirth, or related medical conditions." 42 U.S.C. § 2000e(k).

⁷ See 2017 SUSB Annual Data Tables by Establishment Industry, U.S. Census Bureau (Mar. 2020), https://web.archive.org/web/20200307131234/https://www.census.gov/data/ta-bles/2017/econ/susb/2017-susb-annual.html (select "U.S. and states, NAICS sectors, small employment sizes less than 500" for statistics on firm size measured by number of employees).

Case 1:24-cv-00142-CRH Document 1 Filed 07/24/24 Page 35 of 58

127. Concurrent with EEOC promulgating the PWFA Rule on April 19, 2024, it adopted its Harassment Guidance under Title VII that *inter alia* purports to make certain transgender conflicts subject to Title VII employment discrimination protections. *See* Harassment Guidance.

128. Title VII makes it unlawful for an employer to "discriminate against any individual with respect to compensation, terms, conditions, or privileges of employment, because of such individual's race, color, religion, sex, or national origin." 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-2(a)(1). Discrimination "because of ... sex" has long covered sexual harassment. *See Meritor Savings Bank v. Vinson*, 477 U.S. 57 (1986).

129. The Harassment Guidance addresses many scenarios that may properly be understood as violating Title VII's prohibition on employment discrimination. However, EEOC also sought to expand Title VII to cover potential employment conflicts for areas unrelated to "sex" discrimination that would burden sincere religious beliefs of many employers, including CBA's members.

130. In particular, the Harassment Guidance seeks to apply Title VII to cover three scenarios that contravene sincere Catholic beliefs of CBA members about human sexuality, namely, (1) the use of private spaces traditionally reserved to single sex, (2) the use of pronouns contrary to biological sex, and (3) the ability to speak about human sexuality. *See* Harassment Guidance at $\P II(A)(5)(c)$, (b).

131. As to the use of private spaces traditionally reserved for a single sex, the EEOC interprets Title VII as prohibiting "harassing conduct" on the basis of "gender identity" to include "the denial of access to a bathroom or other sex-segregated facility consistent with the individual's gender identity." *Id.* and n.43. Access to a bathroom traditionally reserved to one sex by a

Case 1:24-cv-00142-CRH Document 1 Filed 07/24/24 Page 36 of 58

member of the opposite sex is given emphasis: "In addition to being part of a harassment claim, denial of access to a bathroom consistent with one's gender identity may be a discriminatory action in its own right and should be evaluated accordingly." *Id.*

132. A Catholic diocese, school, or employer may not insist on reserving private spaces for use by a single-sex, such as a bathroom or locker room, without fear of engaging in unlawful conduct as recently interpreted by the EEOC Harassment Guidance.

C. The EEOC's PWFA Rule and Harassment Guidance Impose Speech Codes Regarding Abortion, Immoral Fertility Treatment, and Transgender Issues

133. Public witness to Catholic values on these issues is integral to the work and ministries of CBA members with such witness is through episcopal statements, preaching, teaching, employee handbooks, employer policies, and direct communications with parishioners, employees, and others-.

134. Under the PWFA Rule and the Harassment Guidance, the EEOC mandates employer communications contrary to Catholic values and prohibits such communications consistent with Catholic values.⁸

135. The EEOC mandates speech contrary to Catholic values through its false pronoun requirement. The EEOC interprets Title VII to prohibit the "intentional use of a name or pronoun inconsistent with the individual's known gender identity (misgendering)" *Id.* and n.42. The EEOC guidance continues with an "Example 15: Harassment Based on Gender Identity" including "misgendering" as a basis for harassment liability.

⁸ The communication mandates contrary to Catholic values that are imposed by the PWFA Rule and the Harassment Guidance are collectively be referred to as the "Speech Codes."

Case 1:24-cv-00142-CRH Document 1 Filed 07/24/24 Page 37 of 58

136. Thus, EEOC requires employers to use false pronouns upon an employee's request. Compliance with this requirement by a Catholic employer would violate Catholic values in numerous profound ways as articulated by Archbishop Hebda. *See* Ex. F, Hebda Decl. ¶¶ 8-17.

137. The EEOC also prohibits employer speech consistent with Catholic values through its Harassment Guidance and its PWFA Rule anti-retaliation provision.

138. Under the PWFA Rule, the EEOC requires employers to engage in conversation (called the "interactive" process) with their employees who desire an accommodation for the protected procedures. PWFA Rule at 29,128, 29,1896, 29 C.F.R. § 1636.3(h)(2).

139. The PWFA Rule then bars an employer from taking an adverse action or retaliating against the employee even though she may have disclosed her speech or conduct at odds with the Employer's Catholic values. 42 U.S.C. § 2000gg-1(5); Rule 19,187, (§ 1636.4(e)(1); 42 U.S.C. § 2000gg-2(f)(1); PWFA Rule at 29,188, 29 C.F.R. §§ 1636.1(6) and 1636.5(f).

140. The EEOC's Harassment Guidance functions similarly. The EEOC interprets Title VII to prohibit, "harassment based on a woman's decision about contraception or abortion." Harassment Guidance at II.A.5.b. Because the EEOC also protects employees' decisions related to immoral fertility treatment and gender transition, the EEOC will treat communications aligned with Catholic values and critical of certain employees' choices about these subjects as constituting harassment.

141. Accordingly, through its PWFA Rule, its Harassment Guidance, and its interpretations of the PWFA and Title VII, it is the EEOC's policy and official position that Catholic employers' communications, policies, and practices declining to use false pronouns or describing,

Case 1:24-cv-00142-CRH Document 1 Filed 07/24/24 Page 38 of 58

teaching, or preaching Catholic values and their preservation of private spaces to one sex may constitute a violation of the PWFA or Title VII.

142. While the EEOC Harassment Guidance claims it is "not meant to bind the public in any way," it also serves as a substantive resource and guidance when the EEOC and members of the public are assessing the merits of a claim based on harassment and whether to bring enforcement action. The Guidance is a "resource" for EEOC and other federal agencies when "adjudicat[ing]" and "litigat[ing] harassment claims." *Id.* at ¶ I(A). In practice, the Guidance reflects the official position of the EEOC in future enforcement actions. *See Meritor Sav. Bank, FSB v. Vinson*, 477 U.S. 57, 65, (1986) ("while not controlling upon the courts by reason of their authority, do constitute a body of experience and informed judgment to which courts and litigants may properly resort for guidance") (citation omitted); and *Kroll v. White Lake Ambulance Auth.*, 691 F.3d 809, 815 (6th Cir. 2012) (describing EEOC guidance under ADA "very persuasive authority").

D. The EEOC's Rejection of Categorial Religious Exemption and Minimization of Protections Provided by RFRA and the First Amendment

143. Title VII does "not apply ... to a religious corporation, association, educational institution, or society with respect to the employment of individuals of a particular *religion* to perform work connected with the carrying on by such corporation, association, educational institution, or society of its activities." (Emphasis added). *Id.* § 2000e-1(a). Title VII then defines "religion" as "includ[ing] *all aspects of religious observance and practice.*" 42 U.S.C. § 2000e(j) (emphasis added).

144. The PWFA incorporates the Title VII religious exemption by reference. See *id*. § 2000gg-5(b).

145. Thus, the EEOC repeatedly minimizes the scope of this broad religious exemption and limits it to protecting an employer's belief or values but not its religious observance or practice.

Case 1:24-cv-00142-CRH Document 1 Filed 07/24/24 Page 39 of 58

See, e.g., 88 Fed. Reg. at 57,747 nn. 193-99 and related text; 89 Fed. Reg. at 29,144-46. In fact, neither the PWFA Rule nor the Harassment Guidance reference Title VII's broad definition of "religion" in 42 U.S.C. § 2000e(j).

146. In its PWFA Rule, the EEOC instead adopted a cramped interpretation of the religious employer exemption carried over from Title VII into the PWFA, requiring that any religious defense be adjudicated only on a "case-by-case" basis, and further limiting the exemption to cover only religious discrimination. Religious discrimination is not even a form of discrimination covered by the PWFA. *See* 89 Fed. Reg. at 29,146-47. So understood, the statutory exemption Congress placed in the PWFA for religious employers does not apply to *any claim* under the PWFA, since the PWFA does not apply to religious class discrimination (it applies to discrimination related to pregnancy,). According to the EEOC, even if it did apply (which appears impossible), it would only be provide protection on a case-by-case. *Id.* at 29,144-50.

147. While noting that religious employers have other rights, the EEOC repeatedly provided an extended discussions of the limitations of religious liberty protections under RFRA and the First Amendment. 988 Fed Reg. at 54,747, 89 Fed. Reg. at 29,096, n.8; 29,148-53.

148. Finally, EEOC emphasizes that nondiscrimination serves a compelling government interest, in its view, which would all but eliminate any protection for religious employers under any of these legal protections. 89 Fed. Reg. at 29,148.

E. Enforcement Mechanisms

149. Whether by EEOC or PWFA enforcement action, class action lawsuit, or employee lawsuit, a successful claim could result in:

a. Compensatory damages (including emotional damages and lost wages),

b. Punitive damages (subject to a damages cap),

- c. Attorneys' fees,
- d. Costs; and
- e. Adverse publicity.

150. The EEOC has authority to investigate alleged Title VII violations and will ask violators to voluntarily take corrective action for discriminatory behavior. 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(a).

151. The Department of Justice may bring a federal lawsuit to enforce Title VII and the PWFA. *See, e.g.*, 81 Fed. Reg. 31,376, 31,439, 31,441.

152. The PWFA and Title VII create private rights of action. Accordingly, individuals who believe they have been discriminated against under the PWFA or Title VII, as informed by EEOC's interpretation of both statutes, may bring their own federal lawsuits. These laws can also be enforced by class action suits.

153. *Punitive damages*: Punitive damages are available under Title VII if the employer acted "with malice or with reckless indifference to the federally protected rights" of an employee. 42 U.S.C. § 1981a(b)(1). Punitive damages are subject to the same statutory caps that are imposed for nonpecuniary losses. *See id.* § 1981a(b)(3).

154. *Injunctive relief*: Courts may order broad forms of injunctive relief under Title VII, see 42 U.S.C. §2000e-5(g)(1); *United States v. Criminal Sheriff, Parish of Orleans*, 19 F.3d 238, 239 (5th Cir. 1994), and may even mandate that employers adopt certain policies, *see, e.g., Morris v. Am. Nat'l Can Corp.*, 730 F. Supp. 1489, 1498 (E.D. Mo. 1989), *aff'd in part, rev'd in part on other grounds*, 952 F.2d 710 (8th Cir. 1991); *Robinson v. Jacksonville Shipyards, Inc.*, 760 F. Supp. 1486, 1541 (M.D. Fla. 1991).

Case 1:24-cv-00142-CRH Document 1 Filed 07/24/24 Page 41 of 58

155. *Attorneys' fees*: Under Title VII, a prevailing party is entitled to costs and attorney's fees. *See* 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-5(k); *id.* § 1988(b); § 2000gg-2.

VI. NEED FOR RELIEF

156. Absent relief from this Court, CBA members and future members with fifteen or more employees are threatened by the EEOC's interpretation of the PWFA and Title VII resulting in the PWFA Rule and the Harassment Guidance to support by word and deed and to become complicit with their respective employees' choices to seek or engage in abortion, immoral fertility treatment, and gender transition in violation of their Catholic convictions.

157. The PWFA Rule burdens CBA members' Catholic values and religious exercise by requiring them to accommodate employee efforts to engage in abortion and immoral fertility treatments.

158. The Harassment Guideline burdens CBA members' Catholic values and religious exercise by requiring them to use false pronouns when requested by their employees.

159. The PWFA Rule's anti-retaliation provision and the Harassment Guideline burdens CBA members' Catholic values and religious exercise by imposing Speech Codes that effectively bar them from teaching, preaching, and speaking, and from adopting and applying policies consistent with Catholic values related to marriage, human life, abortion, fertility, sexuality, and privacy.

160. The PWFA Rule requires CBA members to change their speech, and/or be silent, to support abortion and immoral infertility treatment. It does so, for example, by requiring CBA members to withdraw their policies and practices against (i) abortion accommodations or advocacy and (ii) immoral infertility treatment, and replace them with policies and procedures that affirm a willingness to accommodate abortion and infertility treatments, prohibit any potentially

Case 1:24-cv-00142-CRH Document 1 Filed 07/24/24 Page 42 of 58

"harassing" discussion of abortion or infertility treatments in the workplace, including many potential discussions regarding the moral and religious implications of abortion, and to not "interfere with" "any individual" in the exercise of abortion- or fertility-related rights created by the PWFA Rule.

161. Similarly, the Harassment Guidance forces CBA's members to change their speech as to sex and gender. For example, they must adopt policies that allow employees to identify themselves as a sex different than their biology and force the employer to use language congruent with the employee's "chosen" sex. Under the Harassment Guidance, CBA members must affirmatively sanction falsehoods related to sex and gender that are directly contrary to Catholic teaching.

162. The Harassment Guidance burdens CBA members' Catholic values and religious exercise by requiring them to grant access to employee's of one sex to bathrooms, locker rooms, and other spaces reserved to those of the opposite sex.

163. If CBA's members do not take immediate steps to comply with the PWFA Rule and the Harassment Guidance, they will be under threat of administrative investigations, civil lawsuits, and various penalties if they continue to act a manner that reflect their Catholic convictions.

164. Absent relief from this Court, CBA members are currently threatened by the PWFA Rule and Harassment Guidance with administrative investigations, civil lawsuits, and various penalties if they continue to act in manner that reflects their Catholic convictions.

VII. CAUSES OF ACTION

COUNT I

Administrative Procedure Act Agency Action Not in Accordance with Law

165. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs.

Case 1:24-cv-00142-CRH Document 1 Filed 07/24/24 Page 43 of 58

166. Defendants are "agencies" under the APA, 5 U.S.C. § 551(1), and the PWFA Rule, complained of herein, constitutes "rules" under the APA, *id.* § 551(4), and constitutes "[a]gency action made reviewable by statute and final agency action for which there is no other adequate remedy in a court," *id.* § 704.

167. The PWFA Rule is a "rule" under the APA. 5 U.S.C. § 551.

168. The PWFA Rule is a "final agency action" subject to judicial review. 5 U.S.C. § 704.

169. The APA prohibits agency actions that are "not in accordance with law, in excess of statutory authority, or limitation, or short of statutory right." *Id.* § 706(2)(A), (C). The PWFA Rule is not in accordance with law for a number of independent reasons.

170. The PWFA Rule requires employers to accommodate direct abortion and immoral infertility treatments. It is not in accordance with the PWFA, which does apply to abortion or immoral infertility treatments.

171. EEOC's failure to include in the PWFA Rule a religious exemption protecting Catholic employers for acting consistent with religious beliefs that parallels the religious exemption in Title IX is also not in accordance with law within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(A).

172. The PWFA Rule is not in accordance with Catholic values, which requires CBA members to oppose direct abortion and immoral infertility treatment.

173. The PWFA Rule is not in accordance with law because it violates the First Amendment, Fifth Amendment, and the Religious Freedom Restoration Act.

174. Plaintiffs have no adequate or available administrative remedy, or, in the alternative, any effort to obtain an administrative remedy would be futile.

175. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law.

176. Absent injunctive and declaratory relief against the PWFA Rule, the CBA Plaintiffs have been and will continue to be harmed.

COUNT II

Administrative Procedure Act Agency Action In Excess of Statutory Authority and Limitations

177. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs.

178. The APA prohibits agency actions that are "in excess of statutory jurisdiction, authority, or limitations." 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(C). The PWFA Rule is in excess of statutory jurisdiction, authority, and limitations for a number of reasons.

179. There is no statutory authority or jurisdiction for EEOC to require religious employers to provide accommodation for direct abortion or immoral infertility treatment.

180. The PWFA Rule is in excess of statutory jurisdiction, authority, and limitations within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(C).

181. EEOC's decision to interpret the PWFA to require accommodation for direct abortion and immoral infertility treatment is in excess of statutory jurisdiction, authority, and limitations within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(C).

182. EEOC's failure to include a religious exemption in the PWFA Rule that parallels the religious exemption in Title IX is in excess of statutory jurisdiction, authority, and limitations within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(C).

183. The PWFA Rule is in excess of statutory jurisdiction, authority, and limitations within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(C) as violate the First Amendment, Fifth Amendment, and the Religious Freedom Restoration Act, as hereafter described.

Case 1:24-cv-00142-CRH Document 1 Filed 07/24/24 Page 45 of 58

184. Plaintiffs have no adequate or available administrative remedy, or, in the alterna-

tive, any effort to obtain an administrative remedy would be futile.

185. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law.

186. Absent injunctive and declaratory relief against the PWFA Rule and the Harassment Guidance, the CBA Plaintiffs have been and will continue to be harmed.

COUNT III

Administrative Procedure Act Agency Action Is Arbitrary, Capricious and an Abuse of Discretion

187. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs.

188. The APA prohibits agency actions that are "arbitrary, capricious, [or] an abuse of discretion." 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(A). The 2016 PWFA Rule is arbitrary and capricious agency action for a number of reasons.

189. It is arbitrary and capricious for the EEOC to interpret the PWFA to apply to direct abortion or immoral infertility treatment.

190. It is arbitrary and capricious for the EEOC to interpret the PWFA to restrict religious employers from speaking and acting consistent with religious convictions.

191. EEOC's failure to include a religious exemption in the Rule that parallels the religious exemption in Title IX is arbitrary and capricious within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. 706(2)(A).

192. The PWFA Rule is arbitrary and capricious within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(A) as it violates the First Amendment, Fifth Amendment, and Religious Freedom Restoration Act as hereafter described.

193. Plaintiffs have no adequate or available administrative remedy, or, in the alternative, any effort to obtain an administrative remedy would be futile.

194. Plaintiffs have no adequate remedy at law.

195. Absent injunctive and declaratory relief against the PWFA Rule, the CBA Plaintiffs have been and will continue to be harmed.

COUNT IV

First Amendment Freedom of Speech

196. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs.

197. The Speech Codes bar CBA members from expressing their religious beliefs in opposition to direct abortion, immoral fertility treatments, use of false pronouns, and gender transition.

198. The Speech Codes require CBA members to use false pronouns contrary to their Catholic values.

199. The Speech Codes require CBA members to amend their written policies to avoid statements that may be interpreted by EEOC as discouraging employees from seeking a direct abortion, or seeking to use immoral fertility treatment, or gender transition, even if such revisions would be contrary to the longstanding Catholic beliefs and values of CBA members.

200. The Speech Codes thus violate CBA members' right to be free from compelled speech and mandated speech as secured to them by the First Amendment.

201. The PWFA Rule's viewpoint discrimination is not justified by a compelling governmental interest.

202. The EEOC's actions thus also violate CBA members' rights of expressive association as secured to them by the First Amendment of the United States Constitution.

203. The PWFA Rule's speech requirement is not justified by a compelling governmental interest.

Case 1:24-cv-00142-CRH Document 1 Filed 07/24/24 Page 47 of 58

204. Even if it has a compelling government interest, the PWFA Rule is not narrowly tailored to achieve that interest.

205. Absent injunctive and declaratory relief against the PWFA Rule, the CBA Plaintiffs have been and will continue to be harmed.

COUNT V Religious Freedom Restoration Act

206. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs.

207. CBA members' sincerely held Catholic beliefs oppose providing abortion accommodation, oppose immoral fertility treatment accommodation, oppose any requirement to identify someone by a sex other than their God-gifted sex, and oppose requirements to allow persons of the opposite sex to have access to space reserved for one sex.

208. CBA members' compliance with these beliefs is a religious exercise.

209. The PWFA Rule and the EEOC's Harassment Guidance create government-imposed coercive pressure on CBA members to change or violate their Catholic beliefs.

210. The PWFA Rule and the Harassment Guidance chill CBA members' religious exercise.

211. The PWFA Rule and the Harassment Guidance expose CBA members to civil suits that would hold them liable for practicing their sincerely held Catholic beliefs.

212. The PWFA Rule and the Harassment Guidance thus impose a substantial burden on the CBA's and its members' religious exercise.

213. The PWFA Rule and the Harassment Guidance furthers no compelling governmental interest.

Case 1:24-cv-00142-CRH Document 1 Filed 07/24/24 Page 48 of 58

214. The PWFA Rule and the Harassment Guidance are not the least restrictive means of furthering Defendants' stated interests.

215. The PWFA Rule and the Harassment Guidance violates the CBA's and its members' rights secured to them by the Religious Freedom Restoration Act, 42 U.S.C. § 2000bb et seq.

216. Absent injunctive and declaratory relief against the PWFA Rule and the Harassment Guidance, the CBA Plaintiffs have been and will continue to be harmed.

COUNT VI

First Amendment Free Exercise

217. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs.

218. Plaintiffs and CBA members object to being prohibited from opposing providing direct abortion accommodation, opposing immoral fertility treatment accommodation, opposing any requirement to identify someone by a sex other than their God-gifted sex, and opposing requirements to allow persons of the opposite sex to have access to space reserved for one sex, and speaking out in favor of the same.

219. The PWFA Rule and the Harassment Guidance impose substantial burdens on CBA members by forcing them to choose between their exercise of religion and the avoidance of fines, penalties, liability, and other adverse consequences.

220. The PWFA Rule and the Harassment Guidance seek to suppress the religious practice of individuals and organizations such as CBA members, while allowing exemptions for similar conduct based on secular and non-religious reasons. Thus, the PWFA Rule and the Harassment Guidance are neither neutral nor generally applicable.

Case 1:24-cv-00142-CRH Document 1 Filed 07/24/24 Page 49 of 58

221. None of the statutes pursuant to which the PWFA Rule and the Harassment Guidance are promulgated is generally applicable. Title VII and the PWFA are not generally applicable because it exempts or does not cover employers that employ fewer than fifteen employees and, as a result, does not apply to millions of employers that together employ hundreds of millions of people. The PWFA is not generally applicable because it categorically exempts employers from being compelled to provide an accommodation if there is an "undue hardship."

222. The PWFA Rule and the Harassment Guidance are not justified by a compelling governmental interest.

223. Even if the PWFA Rule and the Harassment Guidance are justified by a compelling government interest, it is not the least restrictive means of achieving that interest.

224. Defendants' actions thus violate the CBA's and its members' rights secured to them by the Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment.

225. Absent injunctive and declaratory relief against the PWFA Rule and the Harassment Guidance, the CBA Plaintiffs have been and will continue to be harmed.

COUNT VII

First Amendment Church Autonomy

226. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs.

227. Plaintiffs and CBA members object to being prohibited from opposing providing direct abortion accommodation, opposing immoral fertility treatment accommodation, opposing any requirement to identify someone by a sex other than their God-gifted sex, and opposing requirements to allow persons of the opposite sex to have access to space reserved for one sex, and speaking out in favor of the same.

Case 1:24-cv-00142-CRH Document 1 Filed 07/24/24 Page 50 of 58

228. As part of their institutional freedom protected by the First Amendment Doctrine of Church Autonomy, CBA Members may structure their internal governance, policies, relationships, and communications with employees consistently with their Catholic faith, including beliefs about the moral evils of abortion, certain infertility treatment, and matters of sexual identity. The First Amendment protects religious institutions right to make personnel decisions based on religious doctrine.

229. The PWFA Rule and the Harassment Guidance wrongly interfere with CBA members internal governance, structure, and communications by forcing them to choose between their exercise of religion and the avoidance of fines, penalties, liability, and other adverse consequences.

230. Defendants' actions thus violate the CBA's and its members' rights secured to them by the Church Autonomy Doctrine of the First Amendment.

231. Absent injunctive and declaratory relief against the PWFA Rule and the Harassment Guidance, the CBA Plaintiffs have been and will continue to be harmed.

COUNT IX

Title VII, 42 U.S.C. §§ 2000e-1(a) and 2000e(j)

232. Plaintiffs incorporate by reference all preceding paragraphs.

233. The PWFA Rule and the Enforcement Guidance do not apply to religious entities or societies "with respect to the employment of individuals of a particular religion" or with respect to the employer's religious observance and practice. 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-1(a); 42 U.S.C. § 2000e(j).

234. As described above, the PWFA Rule and the Harassment Guidance are contrary to the Catholic values, observance, and practice of the CBA Plaintiffs.

235. Applying those rules to the CBA Plaintiffs violates the religious exemption within Title VII.

236. Absent injunctive and declaratory relief against the EEOC Statement, the CBA Plaintiffs have been and will continue to be harmed.

VIII. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore Plaintiffs⁹ request that the Court provide declaratory and injunctive relief as follows:

- A. Declare that the Pregnant Workers Fairness Act, 42 U.S.C. § 2000gg-1, et seq., and the rule implementing it, Implementation of the Pregnant Workers Fairness Act, 89 Fed. Reg. 29,096 (April 19, 2024) do not require CBA Plaintiffs and members to accommodate an employee's direct abortion, advocacy for abortion, facilitation of an abortion; or an employee's immoral infertility treatments, advocacy for immoral infertility treatments, facilitation of immoral infertility treatments.
- B. Declare that the PWFA, the PWFA Rule, Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e et seq., and Enforcement Guidance on Harassment in the Workplace (April 29, 2024), https://www.eeoc.gov/laws/guidance/enforcement-guidance-harassment-workplace ("Harassment Guidance") do not require CBA Plaintiffs and members to accommodate their employees' direct abortion or immoral fertility treatments; speak or communicate in favor of the direct abortion, immoral fertility treatments, or gender transition when such is contrary to the Catholic faith; refrain from speaking or

⁹ Unless indicated otherwise, "Plaintiffs" or "CBA Plaintiffs" as used throughout this lawsuit, does not include one CBA member to the extent that it filed a separate lawsuit seeking relief that overlaps with the relief requested here.

Case 1:24-cv-00142-CRH Document 1 Filed 07/24/24 Page 52 of 58

communicating against the same when such is contrary to the Catholic faith; use pronouns inconsistent with a person's biological sex; or allow persons to use private spaces reserved for the opposite sex because this Court finds that such an application of these laws violate CBA members' sincerely held Catholic beliefs without satisfying strict scrutiny under the Religious Freedom Restoration Act, 42 U.S.C. § 2000bb et seq. and without complying with Title VII's religious exemption that protects employers' religious practices, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e-1(a) and 42 U.S.C. § 2000e(j);

- C. Declare that the PWFA Rule and Defendants' enforcement of the PWFA Rule or interpreting or applying the PWFA against CBA members violate the Administrative Procedure Act, and that no legal burdens can be assessed against these members for failure to accommodate abortion or immoral infertility treatments, or directly or indirectly facilitate access to abortion or immoral fertility treatment;
- D. Declare that the PWFA Rule and Defendants' enforcement of it against CBA members violate the laws and constitutional provisions described in their causes of action;
- E. Issue a preliminary injunction, and permanent injunction prohibiting:
 - a. The Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, Chair Burrows, their divisions, bureaus, agents, officers, commissioners, employees, and anyone acting in concert or participation with them, including their successors in office, from interpreting or enforcing the PWFA, 42 U.S.C. § 2000gg-1, et. seq., or any implementing regulations thereto against the CBA Plaintiffs and members in a manner that would require them to accommodate direct abortion or immoral infertility treatments, speak in favor of the same or refrain from speaking against the same, including by

Case 1:24-cv-00142-CRH Document 1 Filed 07/24/24 Page 53 of 58

otherwise pursuing, charging, or assessing any penalties, fines, assessments, investigations, or other enforcement actions;

- b. The Equal Employment Opportunity Commission, Chair Burrows, their divisions, bureaus, agents, officers, commissioners, employees, and anyone acting in concert or participation with them, including their successors in office, from interpreting or enforcing Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e et seq., or any implementing regulations thereto against the CBA Plaintiffs and the CBA members in a manner that would require them to speak or communicate in favor of the direct abortion, immoral fertility treatments, or gender transition when such is contrary to the Catholic faith; refrain from speaking or communicating against the same when such is contrary to the Catholic faith; use pronouns inconsistent with a person's biological sex; or allow persons to use private spaces reserved for the opposite sex, including by pursuing, charging, or assessing any penalties, fines, assessments, investigations, or other enforcement actions;
- F. Vacate the PWFA Rule as it includes abortion, immortal infertility treatment, or speech about the same.
- G. Extend the relief above to: CBA Plaintiffs and the CBA present and future members, anyone acting in concert or participation with them;
- H. Declare that to come within the scope of this order, a CBA member must meet the following criteria: (a) the employer is not yet protected from the PWFA, the PWFA Rule, Title VII, and the Harassment Guidelines with regard to the issues described in paragraphs B and E(2) above; (b) the CBA has determined that the employer meets the CBA's strict

membership criteria; (c) the CBA's membership criteria have not substantively changed since the CBA filed this complaint; and (d) the CBA member is not subject to an adverse ruling on the merits in another case involving the PWFA, the PWFA Rule, Title VII, or the Harassment Guidelines with regard to the issues described in paragraphs B and E(2) above;

- I. Declare that the PWFA Rule and Defendants' enforcement of the PWFA Rule or interpreting or applying the PWFA against the CBA and its members violate the Administrative Procedure Act, and that no legal burdens can be assessed against these members for failure to accommodate abortion or immoral infertility treatments, or directly or indirectly facilitate access to abortion or immoral fertility treatments;
- J. Declare that the PWFA Rule and Defendants' enforcement of the Final Rule against CBA members violate the laws and constitutional provisions described in their causes of action;
- K. Award nominal damages.
- L. Award Plaintiffs the costs of this action and reasonable attorney's fees as provided by law, including 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d) and 42 U.S.C. § 1988(b); 42 U.S.C. § 2000gg-2; and
- M. Award such other and further relief as the Court deems equitable and just.

DATED: July 24, 2024.

Case 1:24-cv-00142-CRH Document 1 Filed 07/24/24 Page 55 of 58

Respectfully submitted,

Mala L. Martin Nussbaum

Andrew Nussbaum First & Fourteenth PLLC 2 N. Cascade Ave., Suite 1430 Colorado Springs, CO 80903 (719) 428-2386 martin@first-fourteenth.com andrew@first-fourteenth.com

and

Michael Francisco First & Fourteenth PLLC 800 Connecticut Ave NW, Suite 300 Washington, DC 20006 (202) 754-0522 michael@first-fourteenth.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

VERIFICATION PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1746

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing allegations, especially those in paragraphs 14, 31, 33, 48-50, and 59-84 pertaining to the teachings of the Catholic Church, Catholic values, and the beliefs, values, and governance of The Catholic Benefits Association are true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Executed on July 23, 2024

+ Stillion L. Loui

Most Rev. William E. Lori Archbishop of Baltimore Chairman of the Board of The Catholic Benefits Association

VERIFICATION PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1746

I declare under penalty of perjury that, to the best of my knowledge, that: (1) the foregoing allegations, especially those in paragraphs 14, 30-43, 46-47, 52-57, 156-164, pertaining to The Catholic Benefits Association ("CBA"), it purpose, values, governance, and its members are true and correct; (2) Exhibits A, B, C, and D are respectively true and accurate copies of the CBA's Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation, its Third Amended and Restated Bylaws, its Nonprofit Employer Application for Membership, and its For Profit Employer Application for Membership.

Executed on July 23 2024

Douglas Wilson, Jr. Executive Director of the Catholic Benefits Association

VERIFICATION PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1746

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing allegations, especially those in paragraphs 19-29 pertaining to Bismarck Diocese are true and correct to the best of my knowledge. I also affirm that, as applied to the Bismarck Diocese, paragraphs 14, 156-164 are true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Executed on July 2, 2024

+1) wik D. Kagan Most Rev. David Dennis Kagan

Bishop of Bismarck Diocese

AMENDED AND RESTATED CERTIFICATE OF INCORPORATION OF

THE CATHOLIC BENEFITS ASSOCIATION

AN OKLAHOMA NONPROFIT, NONSTOCK CORPORATION

Pursuant to the Oklahoma General Corporation Act (Okla. Stat. tit. 18, §§ 1001 *et seq.*) (the "Act"), there is hereby established an Oklahoma nonprofit, nonstock corporation pursuant to this Certificate of Incorporation.

In accordance with Okla. Stat. tit. 18 § 441-1604 and the remainder of the Act:

- 1. The Ministry was converted from The Catholic Benefits Association LCA, an Oklahoma limited cooperative association, effective October 28, 2016;
- 2. The Ministry is an Oklahoma nonprofit, nonstock corporation;
- 3. Conversion of the Ministry was approved in a manner that complied with the converting entity's governing statutes; and
- 4. The filing of this Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Ministry has complied with all governing statutes of the Ministry and has been duly authorized.

The Ministry is organized without capital stock.

ARTICLE I NAME AND OFFICE

The name of the Ministry is <u>The Catholic Benefits Association</u> (the "Ministry"). The Ministry's principal place of business is 695 Jerry St., Castle Rock, CO 80104.

ARTICLE II REGISTERED AGENT AND ADDRESS

The registered agent is The Corporation Company, and the address of the registered office is 1833 South Morgan Road, Oklahoma City, OK 73128.

ARTICLE III PERIOD OF DURATION

The Corporation shall have perpetual existence.

ARTICLE IV PURPOSES

The Ministry's purposes shall be consistent with Catholic values, doctrine, and canon law. The Ministry is organized for charitable purposes within the meaning of Section 501(c)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (or the corresponding section of any other federal revenue law hereafter in effect, hereinafter, the "**Code**"), and more particularly including:

4.1 To support Catholic employers—including dioceses, parishes, religious institutes, ministries of the faithful, charities, schools and colleges, health care institutions, fraternal benefit societies, professional groups, for profit businesses, and others—that, as part of their religious witness and exercise, provide health or other benefits to their respective employees in a manner that is consistent with Catholic values;

4.2 To work and advocate for religious freedom of Catholic and other employers seeking to conduct their ministries and businesses according to their religious values;

4.3 To support Catholic employers in responding to changes in civil law that threaten their ability to conduct their affairs consistent with their Catholic values;

4.4 To make charitable donations to Catholic ministries from the Ministry's surplus; and

4.5 To incorporate, support, or serve as a member of one or more Catholic entities, including one or more Catholic insurance companies, in furtherance of the Ministry's purposes.

ARTICLE V POWERS

5.1 <u>General Powers</u>. The Ministry has, without limitation, full power to sue and be sued in its own name, to hold title to real property in its own name, and to do all other things necessary or convenient to carry on its activities.

5.2 **Restrictions on Powers**.

5.2.1 No part of the net earnings shall inure to the benefit of or be distributable to any director or officer or any other individual (except that reasonable compensation may be paid for services rendered to or for the benefit of the Ministry affecting one or more of its purposes), and no director or officer, any individual or any entity not constituting a Qualifying Charitable Organization shall be entitled to share in any distribution of any of the corporate assets on dissolution or otherwise. "Qualifying Charitable Organization" is an organization exempt from federal income taxation and described in Section 501(c)(3) of the Code. Any such Qualifying Charitable Organization shall be a Catholic ministry.

5.2.2 No part of the assets shall be contributed to any organization whose net earnings or any part thereof inure to the benefit of any private shareholder or other individual or

any substantial part of the activities of which consists of carrying on propaganda or otherwise attempting to influence legislation.

5.2.3 The Ministry shall make no grants or loans to any member of the board of directors or officer.

5.2.4 No substantial part of the activities shall consist of carrying on propaganda or otherwise attempting to influence legislation. The Ministry shall not participate or intervene in (including the publishing or distribution of statements) any political campaign on behalf of or in opposition to any candidate for public office.

5.2.5 Notwithstanding any other provision of this Certificate, the Ministry shall not carry on any activities not permitted to be carried on by an entity exempt from federal income tax as an organization described in Section 501(c)(3) of the Code.

ARTICLE VI MEMBERS

6.1 <u>No Members.</u> The Ministry shall have no voting members within the meaning of § 1060 of the Act. The Ministry may have such other Catholic members, with such rights, duties and obligations, as may be set forth in the bylaws of the Ministry or as may be set by the board of directors from time to time.

ARTICLE VII BOARD OF DIRECTORS

7.1 **In General**. The management of the Ministry's affairs shall be vested in a board of directors, except as otherwise provided in the Act, this Certificate, or the Bylaws.

7.2 **Initial Appointment**. Until his resignation as such, the Incorporator shall have the exclusive authority to appoint and remove members of the board of directors.

7.3 **<u>Regularly Constituted Board of Directors</u>**. Subject to the preceding section, the identity and number of directors, their classifications, if any, their terms of office, and the manner of their election or appointment shall be determined according to the Bylaws.

ARTICLE VIII LIMITATION OF LIABILITY OF DIRECTORS FOR BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTIES

No director shall have liability to the Ministry for breach of fiduciary duties as a director; provided, however, the forgoing limitation shall not eliminate a director's liability for:

- (a) Breach of the duty of loyalty to the Ministry;
- (b) Any acts or omissions of the director not taken in good faith;

(c) Any acts or omissions of the director involving intentional misconduct or knowing violation of the law;

(d) Any violation of Okla. Stat. tit. 18, § 1053 (relating to unlawful payment of dividends or unlawful stock purchase or redemption);

(e) Any other transaction from which the director derived an improper personal benefit; or

(f) Any other act for which indemnification of directors is prohibited under the provisions of the Act.

ARTICLE IX INDEMNIFICATION

9.1 <u>No Limitation on Indemnification</u>. Nothing in this Certificate shall be construed to limit or restrict the Ministry's ability:

(a) To indemnify its Incorporator, officers, directors, employees, fiduciaries, or agents against liabilities asserted against or incurred by such officers, directors, employees, fiduciaries, or agents for actions taken by (or omissions of) such persons in such capacities; or

(b) To advance the counsel fees of its Incorporator, officers, directors, employees, fiduciaries, or agents incurred in defending liabilities asserted against or incurred by such Incorporator, officers, directors, employees, fiduciaries, or agents for actions taken by (or omissions of) such persons in such capacities.

9.2 **Procedures for Indemnification**. Except as set forth in the Act, this Certificate, or the Bylaws, indemnification of the Incorporator, officers, directors, employees, fiduciaries, or agents shall not be mandatory. Indemnification, when permissive under the Act, shall be granted as set forth in the Bylaws.

ARTICLE X BYLAWS

Except to the extent otherwise provided in the Bylaws, the board of directors shall have the exclusive power to alter, amend, or repeal the Bylaws from time to time in force and to adopt new Bylaws upon the majority vote of the board of directors. Such Bylaws may contain any provisions for the regulation or management of the Ministry's affairs which are not inconsistent with law or this Certificate, as the same may from time to time be amended.

ARTICLE XI AMENDMENTS

11.1 <u>Amendments to Certificate of Incorporation and Bylaws</u>. The power and right to alter, amend, or repeal this Certificate of Incorporation or the Bylaws, and to adopt new, revised, or restated Certificate of Incorporation shall be as stated in the Bylaws.

ARTICLE XII INCORPORATOR

The name and address of the original incorporator ("Incorporator") is:

Most Rev. William Edward Lori 320 Cathedral Street Baltimore, MD 21201

The Incorporator shall have such rights, powers and duties as may be set forth in this Certificate and the Bylaws in addition to any other rights afforded the Incorporator under the Act. The personal liability of the Incorporator by virtue of being the Incorporator or serving as the Incorporator shall be limited to the fullest extent of the law.

ARTICLE XIII DISSOLUTION

Upon dissolution of the Ministry, the Ministry's assets remaining after payment of or provision for its liabilities shall be paid over or transferred to one or more Catholic ministries that is a Qualifying Charitable Organization. Any assets not so disposed of shall be disposed of by the District Court of the State of Oklahoma that is located in Oklahoma City, or to one or more Catholic Qualifying Charitable Organizations as said Court shall determine.

ARTICLE XIV DELIVERY AND PRIMARY CONTACT

The name and contact information of the individual who causes this document to be delivered for filing, and to whom the Secretary of State may deliver notice of filing of this document is refused, is:

L. Martin Nussbaum, Esq. Lewis Roca Rothgerber Christie LLP 90 S. Cascade Ave., Suite 1100 Colorado Springs, Colorado 80903 mnussbaum@lrrc.com 719.386.3000

[Signature Page Follows]

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the undersigned Chief Executive Officer, acting on authority and directive of the board of directors, has authorized this Amended and Restated Certificate of incorporation effective as of the date filed with the Oklahoma Secretary of State pursuant to Okla. Stat. tit. 18 § 1007(A)(2)(a). The undersigned certifies that this instrument is the act and deed of the corporation and that the facts stated herein are true.

Douglas G. Wilson, Jr. Chief Executive Officer

Date: 27 Oct 16

[Signature Page to The Catholic Benefits Association Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation]

THIRD AMENDED AND RESTATED BYLAWS OF

THE CATHOLIC BENEFITS ASSOCIATION

AN OKLAHOMA NONPROFIT, NONSTOCK CORPORATION

Supersedes Second Amended Bylaws dated March 14, 2017 Effective November 17, 2020

Table of Contents

ARTICLE I PURPOSES AND POWERS OF MINISTRY		
1.1.	Purposes and Power 1	
ARTICLE II INCORPORATOR; COMMENCEMENT OF BUSINESS		
2.1.	Powers of Incorporators	
ARTICLE III MEMBERSHIP		
3.1.	Members and Qualifications 1	
3.2.	Admission of Members	
3.3.	No Power to Bind Ministry	
3.4.	No Liability2	
3.5.	Membership Assessments for Ongoing Operations	
3.6.	Temporary Assessments for Litigation Costs	
3.7.	Suspension or Termination	
3.8.	Transferability of Membership Interests	
3.9.	Voting	
3.10.	Dissociation of Members	
ARTICLE IV FINANCIAL MATTERS		
4.1.	Non-Profit Purpose	

Case 1:24-cv-00142-CRH Document 1-2 Filed 07/24/24 Page 2 of 14

4.2.	Allocation of Revenues Exceeding Expenditures	
4.3.	Charitable Contributions	
ARTICLE V DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS 4		
5.1.	Management of Ministry 4	
5.2.	Number and Qualifications of Directors	
5.3.	Election of Directors; Term; Vacancies	
5.4.	Removal and Resignation	
5.5.	Board Chair	
5.6.	Board Meetings	
5.7.	Special Meetings	
5.8.	Quorum	
5.9.	Notice of Board Meetings	
5.10.	Waiver of Notice	
5.11.	No Proxies	
5.12.	Action Without a Meeting	
5.13.	Compensation; Reimbursement	
5.14.	Ethics Committee	
5.15.	Finance Committee	
5.16.	Litigation Committee	
5.17.	Other Committees	
5.18.	Bonds and Insurance	
5.19.	Audits7	
5.20.	Depository7	
ARTICLE OFFI	VI CERS7	
6.1.	Election of Officers; Vacancies	
6.2.	Duties of Chief Executive Officer	
6.3.	Duties of President	

Case 1:24-cv-00142-CRH Document 1-2 Filed 07/24/24 Page 3 of 14

6.4.	Duties of Vice President	
6.5.	Duties of Secretary	
6.6.	Duties of Treasurer	
ARTICLE VII INDEMNIFICATION OF DIRECTORS, OFFICERS, AND EMPLOYEES		
7.1.	Mandatory Indemnification	
7.2.	Termination or Abatement of Claim	
7.3.	Permissive Indemnification	
7.4.	Success on the Merits	
7.5.	Procedure	
7.6.	Advancement of Expenses	
7.7.	Other Rights	
ARTICLE VIII DISSOLUTION		
8.1.	Required Vote	
8.2.	Debts and Liabilities	
8.3.	Remaining Assets	
ARTICLE IX FISCAL YEAR		
ARTICLE INCO	X DRPORATION OF ACT	
ARTICLE XI AMENDMENTS		
11.1.	Bylaws and Articles	

ARTICLE I PURPOSES AND POWERS OF MINISTRY

1.1. <u>**Purposes and Power**</u>. The purposes and power of The Catholic Benefits Association, an Oklahoma nonstock nonprofit corporation (the "**Ministry**"), formed under the Oklahoma General Corporation Act (Okla. Stat. tit. 18, §§ 1001 *et seq*.) (the "**Act**") are stated in the Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation (the "**Certificate**").

ARTICLE II INCORPORATOR; COMMENCEMENT OF BUSINESS

2.1. <u>Powers of Incorporators</u>. The Ministry was originally incorporated in its first iteration as a limited cooperative association by the Most Rev. William Edward Lori (the "Incorporator"), with such powers and obligations as Incorporator prior to his resignation as Incorporator. The initial incorporators of the Ministry as a nonstock nonprofit corporation under the Act were Messrs. Douglas Wilson, Jr., L. Martin Nussbaum, Esq., and Nicholas N. Dyer, Esq. whose resignations following such incorporation are hereby recognized. Any right, power, or duty vested in the Incorporators is vested in the board of directors.

ARTICLE III MEMBERSHIP

3.1. <u>Members and Qualifications</u>. The Ministry shall have no voting members pursuant to § 1060 of the Act. It shall, however, have members eligible to receive the benefits of membership. The Ministry shall admit as members, Catholic employers that are approved by the Secretary or the Secretary's designee and that complete the membership application and satisfy the following criteria:

3.1.1. It shall be a Catholic employer.

3.1.1.1. Any employer shall satisfy the requirement of being Catholic if either the employer is listed in the current *Official Catholic Directory* or the secretary or his or her designee makes such a determination.

3.1.1.2. For profit employers seeking membership in the Ministry shall be deemed Catholic only if (i) Catholics (or trusts or other entities wholly controlled by such Catholic individuals) own 51% or more of employer, (ii) 51% or more of the members of the employer's governing body, if any, is comprised of Catholics, and (iii) either the employer's owners or governing body has adopted a written policy expressing the employer's commitment to the ethical standards described in § 3.1.2.

3.1.2. With regard to the benefits it provides to its employees, independent contractors, or students, or with regard to the health care services it provides to its patients, the employer shall, as part of its religious witness and exercise, be committed to providing no such benefits or services inconsistent with Catholic values, and

100324684_1

1 of 11

3.1.3. It shall, on a timely basis, pay its dues or other financial obligations to the Ministry and any of its affiliates.

3.2. Admission of Members.

3.2.1. The board or such duly appointed and authorized committee thereof or the secretary or the secretary's designee may admit members to the Ministry.

3.2.2. Each organization seeking to be a member shall apply for membership, by providing such information as may be required by the board, the secretary, or the secretary's designee. No organization qualified to be a member shall, solely by virtue of such qualification or application, be entitled to be admitted as a member.

3.3. <u>No Power to Bind Ministry</u>. A member, solely by reason of being a member, cannot and may not act for or bind the Ministry.

3.4. <u>No Liability</u>. A debt, obligation, or other liability of the Ministry is solely that of the Ministry and is not the debt, obligation, or liability of a member solely by reason of being a member.

3.5. <u>Membership Assessments for Ongoing Operations</u>. The board may assess dues, fees, or both from members to fund the Ministry. It shall establish the amount, manner, or other method of determining any membership assessments, which shall be payable at such times and in such amounts as it determines. All membership assessments shall be payable in cash and the receipt and acceptance of such must be reflected in the Ministry's records. The proportion of the total membership assessments shall be allocated as determined by the board. Membership assessments under this Section 3.5 are not refundable to any member.</u>

3.6. <u>Temporary Assessments for Litigation Costs</u>. The board may assess additional mandatory contributions from members or seek voluntary contributions from members and non-members to fund litigation costs consistent with the Ministry's purposes.

3.6.1. Such litigation includes, without limitation, litigation in the name of the Ministry, its members, its affiliates, its insurance company, others, or any person or entity providing services to the Ministry, its affiliates, or its insurance company, that is reasonably needed to avoid government mandates contrary to Catholic doctrine or values including without limitation requiring employers or their contractors to provide coverage for CASC Benefits or clinical trial services utilizing embryonic stem cells or fetal tissue harvested from aborted fetuses or to provide coverage for or to deliver ACTS Services to the member's employees, students, patients, or independent contractors. "CASC Benefits" means medication, medical devices or medical procedures that are used for the purposes of contraception, abortion, sterilization, and related counseling. "ACTS Services" means abortion or comprehensive transgender services.

3.6.2. The board shall establish the amount, manner, or other method of determining any membership temporary assessments for litigation, which shall be payable at such times and in such amounts as determined by the board. Such assessments shall be made on a temporary basis, until the cost of funding the litigation has, in the opinion of the board, been fully funded. Thereafter, the board may re-commence assessments for the cost of CASC

2 of 11

Benefits litigation, ACTS Services litigation, or other litigation as the circumstances warrant. All such assessments shall be payable in cash and the receipt and acceptance of such must be reflected in the Ministry's records. The proportion of the total membership assessments payable by each member under this Section 3.6 shall be allocated as determined by the board, taking into account such factors as the board deems appropriate.

3.6.3. After paying outstanding litigation costs and establishing such reserves as the board deems prudent for funding other litigation, any remaining funds from litigation assessments and third party contributions to fund litigation costs may be, but shall not be required to be, refunded to such members and contributors in proportion to their relative historic contributions of such funds.

3.7. <u>Suspension or Termination</u>. If the board finds that a member has ceased to be an eligible member, the board shall suspend such member's rights as a member or terminate the member's membership. On termination of membership, all rights and interests of such member in the Ministry shall cease. No action taken under these bylaws shall impair the obligations of a member already accrued under any contract with the Ministry.

3.8. <u>**Transferability of Membership Interests**</u>. Membership in the Ministry shall not be transferable without the prior written consent of the board in its sole discretion. Any transfer in violation of this section shall be void *ab initio*.

3.9. <u>Voting</u>. Members shall have no voting rights under the Act.

3.10. Dissociation of Members.

3.10.1. A member may withdraw from membership in the Ministry by providing thirty days' notice of intent to withdraw to the president, provided that no member may elect to dissociate from the Ministry when such member has insurance coverage in effect provided by the Ministry's insurance company.

3.10.2. The board may cause any member to dissociate from the Ministry upon a determination by the board that (i) such member does not meet the qualifications for membership or (ii) such member has acted in a manner contrary to the purposes of the Ministry. Such determination shall be made by the board in its sole, absolute, and final determination and discretion and for which no hearing or other administrative procedure shall be required.

ARTICLE IV FINANCIAL MATTERS

4.1. <u>Non-Profit Purpose</u>. The Ministry shall at all times operate to further the purposes set forth in the Certificate. No profits of the Ministry shall be distributable to or inure to the benefit of the members, nor shall the Ministry operate for the private benefit of any member.

4.2. <u>Allocation of Revenues Exceeding Expenditures</u>. The board may deduct and set aside a part of revenues exceeding costs and expenditures of the Corporation to create or accumulate, in furtherance of its charitable purposes:

3 of 11

4.2.1. An unallocated capital reserve; and

4.2.2. Reasonable reserves allocated for specific purposes, including expansion and replacement of capital assets; education, training, cooperative development; creation and distribution of information relating to moral issues of interest to Catholic employers, and funding for litigation.

4.3. <u>Charitable Contributions</u>. After establishing reasonable reserves for the operation of the Ministry, the board may authorize, and the Ministry may make, contributions to Catholic ministries exempt from federal income taxation and described in Section 501(c)(3) of the Code ("Qualifying Charitable Organization").

ARTICLE V DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS

5.1. <u>Management of Ministry</u>. The board shall have general supervision and control of the Ministry's affairs and shall make all rules for the Ministry's management not inconsistent with the articles or these bylaws. It shall have an accounting system adequate to the requirements of its operations, and it shall keep proper records of all business transactions.

5.2. <u>Number and Qualifications of Directors</u>. The Ministry shall have a board of no less than three and no more than fifteen members. At least three fourths of the directors shall be Catholic.

5.3. <u>Election of Directors; Term; Vacancies</u>. All members of the board of directors shall be elected by the board of directors at the annual meeting of the Ministry, except for the treasurer who shall serve as an *ex officio* director by virtue of holding office as treasurer. Such elections shall not take effect unless and until the Ethics Committee ratifies them. The notice of annual meeting shall include the list of nominees. The board shall stagger the term of all directors so that approximately one third of the directors have terms of one year, one third have terms of two years, and one third have terms of three years. Newly elected directors shall thereafter serve for a term of three years, provided that each such director's death, resignation, or removal. Each director may serve as many as three consecutive terms after which the individual may not serve as director for at least one year. Whenever a vacancy occurs in the board, other than from the expiration of a term of office, the board shall appoint a qualified person to fill the vacancy until the next annual meeting.

5.4. <u>Removal and Resignation</u>.

5.4.1. Directors may be removed, with or without cause, unilaterally by action of the Ethics Committee; or

5.4.2. Directors may be removed, with or without cause, by action of the board of directors provided that the Ethics Committee consents to the same.

4 of 11

5.4.3. A director may resign at any time by providing written notice to the board of directors. Such resignation shall take effect on the date specified therein and no acceptance of the written notice shall be necessary to render the same effective.

5.5. <u>Board Chair</u>. The chair shall be elected by action of the board. The chair shall preside over all meetings of the board. The chair shall serve as such until his or her successor is elected and qualified by action of the board or until the earlier of such director's death, resignation, or removal.

5.6. <u>Board Meetings</u>. In addition to the meetings mentioned above, regular meetings of the board shall be held not less than semi-annually (one of which shall be the annual meeting) or at such other times and places as the board determines. Board meetings may be held telephonically or via other means, including conference calls, video- or web-conferencing, so long as each director may hear and be heard by each other director.

5.7. <u>Special Meetings</u>. A special meeting of the board shall be held whenever called by a majority of the directors. Any and all business may be transacted at a special meeting. Each call for a special meeting shall be in writing, signed by the person or persons making the same, addressed and delivered to the secretary, and shall state the time and place of such meeting. Upon the signing of a waiver of notice of a meeting, a meeting of the board may be held at any time.

5.8. <u>**Quorum**</u>. A majority of the board shall constitute a quorum.

5.9. <u>Notice of Board Meetings</u>. Oral or written notice of each meeting of the board shall be given to each director by or under the supervision of the secretary not less than forty-eight hours before the time of the meeting, but such notice may be waived by individual directors.

5.10. Waiver of Notice. Whenever any notice is required to be given under the provisions of the Act, the Certificate or these Bylaws, a director may waive any notice required to be given to such director by the Colorado Revised Nonprofit Corporation Act or these bylaws (i) whether before or after the date or time stated in the notice as the date or time when any action will occur, by delivering a written waiver to the corporation which is signed by the director entitled to the notice for inclusion in the minutes, but such delivery and filing shall not be conditions of the effectiveness of the waiver; or (2) by a director's attendance at the meeting whereby such director waives objection to lack of notice or defective notice, unless the director at the beginning of the meeting objects to the holding thereof or transacting business at the meeting because of lack of notice or defective notice and the director also does not vote for or assent to action taken at the meeting. Further, even if a director attends or participates in a meeting, the director does not waive any required notice if special notice was required of a particular purpose and the director objects to transacting business with respect to the purpose for which such special notice was required and does not thereafter vote for or assent to action taken at the meeting with respect to such purpose.

5.11. <u>No Proxies</u>. No director may vote or act by proxy or power of attorney.

5 of 11

5.12. <u>Action Without a Meeting</u>. Any action required or permitted to be taken at a meeting of the directors may be taken without a meeting and without prior notice if a consent in writing, setting forth the action taken, is signed by every director. Such consent (which may be counterparts) has the same effect as a unanimous vote. Unless it specifies a different effective date, it is effective when signed by all. All consents signed pursuant to this section shall be delivered to the secretary for inclusion in the minutes and the Ministry's records.

5.13. <u>Compensation; Reimbursement</u>. The compensation, if any, of the directors shall be determined by the members at any annual or special meeting. Proposals for such salary may be made by the board. Nothing contained herein shall serve to bar any director receiving a salary as an officer or employee of the Ministry. Directors may be paid for his or her expenses, if any, of attendance at each meeting of the members, the board, or any committee of which he or she is a member.

5.14. Ethics Committee.

5.14.1. The Ministry shall at all times have an Ethics Committee comprised of the Catholic bishops serving on the board plus any additional number of Catholic bishops as appointed by the committee itself when necessary to bring the total number of bishops on the committee to at least three. Members of the Ethics Committee who are not board members shall serve for a term of one year. Members of the Ethics Committee who are board members shall serve for terms coincident with their board terms.

5.14.2. The Ethics Committee shall have exclusive authority to review all benefits, products, and services provided by the Ministry, its affiliates or subsidiaries, or their respective contractors to ensure such conform with Catholic values and doctrine. If they do not, the committee shall determine the necessary corrections to bring such benefits, products, and services into conformity with Catholic values and doctrine. The decision of the committee shall be final and binding on the Ministry, its board, and its officers, and also upon the board and officers of the Ministry's affiliates or subsidiaries.

5.14.3. The governing documents of any affiliate or subsidiary formed by the Ministry shall expressly acknowledge and accede to the authority of the Ethics Committee as set forth in this section 5.14.

5.15. <u>Finance Committee</u>. The Ministry shall at all times have a finance committee consisting of individuals appointed by the chair for three year terms. It shall be led by a finance committee chair appointed by the chair. The finance committee shall work with the chief executive officer and the treasurer in preparing budgets and financial reports to present to the board. It shall engaged an auditor and receive audit comments and reports. The chair may remove any member of the finance committee at any time for any reason.

5.16. <u>Litigation Committee</u>. The Ministry shall at all times have a litigation committee consisting of lawyers and other persons appointed by the chair for three year terms. It shall be led by a litigation committee chair appointed by the chair. The litigation committee shall, upon request of the board or the chair, advise the chief executive officer, the chair, and the Ministry's litigation counsel with regard to the initiation, execution, and resolution of any lawsuits, appeals,

6 of 11

petitions for certiorari or other legal actions undertaken by the Ministry for the benefit of its members. The chair may remove any member of the committee at any time for any reason.

5.17. Other Committees.

5.17.1. The board, by resolution, may designate one or more other committees to exercise authority as designated by the board.

5.17.2. The delegation of authority to any committee shall not operate to relieve the board or any director from any responsibility imposed by law. Subject to the foregoing, the board may provide such powers, limitations, and procedures for such committees as it wishes. Each committee shall keep regular minutes of its meetings, which shall be reported to the board and submitted to the secretary for inclusion in the Ministry's records.

5.18. <u>Bonds and Insurance</u>. The board may require its officers, agents, and employees charged by the Ministry with responsibility for the custody of any of its funds, securities, or commercial paper to give adequate bonds. Such bonds, unless cash security is given, shall be furnished by a responsible bonding company and approved by the board, the cost to be paid by the Ministry. The board shall provide for the adequate insurance of the property of the Ministry, or property in the possession or control of the Ministry, and not otherwise adequately insured, and in addition, adequate insurance covering liability for accidents to all employees and the public.

5.19. <u>Audits</u>. At least once in each year, the board shall secure the services of a competent and disinterested public auditor or accountant, who shall audit the Ministry's books and render a report in writing to the board at their annual meeting. This report shall include at least:

5.19.1. A balance sheet showing the Ministry's assets and liabilities; and

5.19.2. An operating statement for the fiscal period under review.

5.20. <u>**Depository**</u>. The board shall have power to select one or more banks to act as depositories of the Ministry's funds, and to determine the manner of receiving, depositing, and disbursing such funds, the form of checks, and the person or persons with authority to sign them.

ARTICLE VI OFFICERS

6.1. <u>Election of Officers: Vacancies</u>. The board shall elect a chief executive officer, president, vice-president, secretary and treasurer, and any other position as may be determined by the board. Each such person shall hold office until the election and qualification of a successor unless removed by death, resignation, or for cause. There shall be no limits on the number of terms any officer may serve as such. Any of the officers may be members of the board, but membership on the board shall not be a required qualification for officers. The board shall fill vacancies in such offices.

7 of 11

6.2. <u>Duties of Chief Executive Officer</u>. The chief executive officer shall:

6.2.1. Have prime responsibility for leading the Ministry and for implementing and carrying out the policies, directives, and goals identified by the board and for performing other duties set forth in his or her job description or employment agreement;

6.2.2. Hire and direct employees consistent with the Ministry's budget approved by the board; and

6.2.3. Sign such other papers of the Ministry as the president may be authorized or directed by the board to sign; provided, however, that the board may authorize any person to sign any or all checks, contracts, and other instruments on behalf of the Ministry.

6.3. **Duties of President**. The president shall:

6.3.1. Perform all duties usually performed by an executive and presiding officer or as may be prescribed by the board; and

6.4. **Duties of Vice President**. The vice president shall:

6.4.1. In the absence of the president or in the event of his or her death, inability or refusal to act, perform all duties of the president, and when so acting, shall have all the powers of and be subject to all the restrictions upon the president; and

6.4.2. Perform such other duties as the president or the board shall assign.

6.5. <u>Duties of Secretary</u>. The secretary, personally or through a designee, shall:

6.5.1. Keep a complete record of all meetings of the Ministry and of the board and have general charge of the Ministry's books and records;

6.5.2. Serve all notices required by law, the articles, and these bylaws and make a full report of all matters pertaining to the office to the board at the annual meeting;

6.5.3. Keep complete membership records;

6.5.4. Make all reports required by law and perform such other duties as may be required by the Ministry or the board; and

6.5.5. On the election of a successor, the secretary shall turn over all books and other property belonging to the Ministry in the secretary's possession.

6.6. <u>Duties of Treasurer</u>. The treasurer shall ensure the performance of an annual audit and the preparation of annual financial statements, along with such other duties, with respect to the finances of the Ministry as the board may prescribe. The treasurer shall also serve as a member of the finance committee and as an *ex officio*, non-voting member of the board of directors.

8 of 11

ARTICLE VII INDEMNIFICATION OF DIRECTORS, OFFICERS, AND EMPLOYEES

7.1. <u>Mandatory Indemnification</u>.

7.1.1. The Ministry shall indemnify any director, officer, or employee who was or is a party, or is threatened to be made a party, to any threatened, pending, or completed action, suit or proceeding, whether civil, criminal, administrative, or investigative (other than an action of the Ministry) by reason of the fact that he or she is or was, a director, officer, or employee of the Ministry, or is or was serving at the request of the Ministry as a director, officer, employee, agent, administrator, advisor, fiduciary, or member of a corporation, partnership, joint venture, holding company, subsidiary, trust, unincorporated association, retirement or other employee benefit plan, or other enterprise, as well as any committee, subcommittee, or other body of the Ministry existing under authority of statute or otherwise, against expenses (including attorneys' fees), judgments, fines, penalties, and amounts paid in settlement actually and reasonably incurred by him or her in connection with such action, suit, or proceeding if he/she acted in good faith and in a manner he or she reasonably believed to be in or not opposed to the interests of the Ministry, and, with respect to any criminal action or proceeding, had no reasonable cause to believe his or her conduct was unlawful.

The Ministry shall indemnify any director, officer, or employee who was 7.1.2. or is a party, or is threatened to be made a party, to any threatened, pending, or completed action or suit by, or in the right of, the Ministry to procure a judgment in its favor by reason of the fact that he/she is or was a director, officer, or employee of the Ministry, or is or was serving at the request or direction of the Ministry as a director, officer, employee, agent, administrator, advisor, fiduciary, or member of a corporation, partnership, joint venture, trust, retirement or other employee benefit plan or other enterprise, as well as any committee, subcommittee, or other body of the Ministry existing under authority of statute or otherwise, against expenses (including attorneys' fees) actually and reasonably incurred by him or her in connection with the defense or settlement of such action or suit, as well as amounts paid in settlement, if he/she acted in good faith and in a manner he/she reasonably believed to be in, or not opposed to, the best interests of the Ministry; provided, however, that no indemnification shall be made in respect of any claim, issue, or matter as to which such director, officer, or employee shall have been adjudged to be liable to the Ministry unless, and only to the extent that a court of competent jurisdiction shall determine upon application that, despite the adjudication of liability but in the view of all the circumstances of the case, such person is fairly and reasonably entitled to indemnity for such expenses which the court shall deem proper.

7.2. <u>Termination or Abatement of Claim</u>. The termination or abatement of a claim, threatened claim, suit, or other proceeding by way of judgment, order, settlement, or conviction, upon a plea of guilty or nolo contendere or its equivalent is not, of or by itself, determinative that the director, officer, or employee met or did not meet the standard of conduct described in this article.

7.3. <u>Permissive Indemnification</u>. The Ministry may indemnify any agent of the Ministry to the same extent as and under the same provisions applicable to directors and officers of the Ministry, but only by specific action of and to the extent designated by the board.

9 of 11

7.4. <u>Success on the Merits</u>. Notwithstanding any other provision of this article, a director, officer, or employee of the Ministry who has been successful, on the merits or otherwise, in the defense of any suit or proceeding referred to in Section 7.1 *et seq.* above, to which he or she was a party shall be indemnified against expenses (including reasonable attorneys' fees) actually and reasonable incurred by him or her in connection with such suit or proceeding.

7.5. <u>Procedure</u>. Any indemnification under this Article VII (unless ordered by the court) shall be made by the Ministry only as authorized in the specific case upon a determination by the board that indemnification of the director, officer, or employee is proper in the circumstances because he/she has met the applicable standard of conduct set forth in the applicable section of this Article. Such determination shall be made (1) by the board by a majority vote of a quorum consisting of directors who were not parties to such action, suit, or proceeding; or (2) if such quorum is not obtainable, or even if obtainable, by the direction of a quorum of disinterested directors, by independent legal counsel in a written opinion.

7.6. Advancement of Expenses. Notwithstanding the provisions of Section 7.5, reasonable expenses incurred in defending any civil or criminal action, suit or proceeding, shall be paid by the Ministry in advance of the final disposition of such action, suit, or proceeding, if the director, officer, or employee shall undertake to repay such amount in the event that it is ultimately determined, as provided herein, that such person is not entitled to indemnification. Advances of expenses shall be made promptly and, in any event, within ninety days, upon written request of the director, officer, or employee. Notwithstanding the foregoing, no advance shall be made by the Ministry, if a determination is reasonably made at any time by the Ministry board by a majority vote of a quorum of disinterested directors, or (if such a quorum is not obtainable or, even if obtainable, a quorum of disinterested directors so directs) by independent legal counsel in a written opinion, that based upon the facts known to the board or counsel at the time such determination is made, such person acted in bad faith and in a manner opposed to the best interests of the association, or such person deliberately breached his or her duty to the Ministry or its stockholders, or, with respect to any criminal proceeding, that such person believed or had reasonable cause to believe his or her conduct was unlawful.

7.7. <u>Other Rights</u>. The indemnification provided by this article shall not be deemed exclusive of any other rights to which those seeking indemnification may be entitled under any insurance or other agreement, vote of directors, or otherwise, both as to actions in their official capacity and as to actions in another capacity while holding an office, and shall continue as to a person who has ceased to be a director, officer, or employee and shall inure to the benefit of the heirs, executors, and administrators of such person. The Ministry may purchase and maintain insurance on behalf of any person who is or was a director, officer, employee, or agent of the Ministry, or who is or was serving in any of the capacities referred to in <u>Section 7.1</u> *et seq*. hereof against any liability asserted against him or incurred by him in any such capacity, or arising out of his or her status as such.

ARTICLE VIII DISSOLUTION

8.1. <u>**Required Vote**</u>. Voluntary dissolution of the Ministry may only be made upon the affirmative vote of not less than two-thirds of all directors of the board.

10 of 11

8.2. <u>**Debts and Liabilities**</u>. On the dissolution of the Ministry, all its debts and liabilities shall be paid first according to their respective priorities.

8.3. <u>Remaining Assets</u>. Any remainder of property of the Ministry shall be paid over or transferred to one or more Catholic ministries that is a Qualifying Charitable Organization. Any assets not so disposed of shall be disposed of by the state District Court in Oklahoma City, or to one or more Catholic Qualifying Charitable Organizations as said Court shall determine.

ARTICLE IX FISCAL YEAR

The fiscal year shall be the calendar year or such other consecutive twelve month period established by the board.

ARTICLE X INCORPORATION OF ACT

Except as may be modified in the articles or these bylaws, the Act shall control and govern the Ministry.

ARTICLE XI AMENDMENTS

11.1. <u>Bylaws and Articles</u>. If notice of the character of the amendment proposed is given in the notice of meeting, a majority of all of the directors may amend these bylaws or the articles. The articles or these bylaws may also be amended by unanimous written consent of the directors. Notwithstanding the foregoing, there shall be no amendment to Articles I, IV, V, or XIII of the Certificate of Incorporation or sections 3.1, or 11.1 of these bylaws without the approval of the Ethics Committee. The members may not amend either these bylaws or the articles.

[End of Document]

11 of 11



THE POWER OF ASSOCIATION ™

CHURCH or CHURCH AFFILATE EMPLOYER MEMBERSHIP APPLICATION

Name of Organization	:
Address:	
-	
Name of Representative:	
Position:	
Phone: _	
Email:	

The organization hereby applies, for itself and for its related ecclesiastical organizations, and its separately incorporated related ministries and activities ("related employers"), for membership in Catholic Benefits Association.

With regard to any benefits it provides to its employees, independent contractors, or students, or with regard to the health care services it provides to its patients, the organization and its related employers are committed to providing no benefits or services inconsistent with Catholic values.

As a condition for membership in the Association, the organization agrees to pay dues and assessments to the Association based on the number of employees enrolled on the employer-sponsored health plan. Dues to be paid, as determined by the Association's Board of Directors, and which, as of the date of this application, are:

NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES	1 – 14 EEs	15 or more EEs
MEMBERSHIP DUES	\$300/year	\$1.50/month/employee, Capped at \$4000/month

If the organization does not offer a health plan or is a sole proprietor, the minimum amount will apply.

2004662396 1

Case 1:24-cv-00142-CRH Document 1-3 Filed 07/24/24 Page 2 of 4



The organization hereby represents (i) that it has the authority to apply for membership in the Association for itself and its related employers, and (ii) that its related employers which it intends to be included as members of the Association are identified on Schedule A, except that parishes need not be separately listed.

The total number of covered employees¹ for the organization and the related employers is______.

(Name of Organization)

(Date)

(Representative Signature)

(Position)

¹ A "covered employee" is an employee participating in a health plan sponsored or maintained by the applicant organization or a health plan sponsored or maintained by any related employer. This number is updated annually or with significant changes reported by employer. 2004662396 1

Case 1:24-cv-00142-CRH Document 1-3 Filed 07/24/24 Page 3 of 4 Catholic Benefits ASSOCIATION

THE POWER OF ASSOCIATION

Schedule A

RELATED EMPLOYERS TO BE ADMITTED AS MEMBERS²

The applicant organization identifies the following separately incorporated related employers (other than parishes, which **need not be** separately identified) and acknowledges that pursuant to this Application for Membership, each of them will be admitted as a member of The Catholic Benefits Association:

² Regardless of whether they are separately incorporated, parishes of an applicant will be members of the Association, and need not be listed on this Schedule A. If a diocese or archdiocese seeks to exclude a parish as a member of the Association, it may provide the Association written direction to that effect.

In the case of a diocese, archdiocese or eparchy, related employers include separately incorporated ministries, such as, by way of example, *Catholic Charities, other Catholic relief organizations, schools, elder care facilities, cemetery associations, housing agencies* and others.

In the case of other religious institute and non-diocesan non-profit entities, separately incorporated related employers should be identified.

If any related employer is a for profit entity, please write "for profit" behind the entity's name. 2004662396_1

Case 1:24-cv-00142-CRH Document 1-3 Filed 07/24/24 Page 4 of 4 Catholic Benefits ASSOCIATION

THE POWER OF ASSOCIATION ™

NEW MEMBER BILLING PREFERENCES

New member dues are assessed after the first full month of membership. Membership dues are billed in arears for the preceding month and are sent on the first of each month. You can specify below the billing preference for your organization.

1. How often would you prefer to be billed? (Circle one):

Monthly

Annually (first billed will be prorated for the remaining months of the current year)

2. Who should we reference when sending your invoice:

Name: _____

Position: _____

Email Address:	

3. Our invoices are typically emailed; however, you can indicate if you would prefer mailed invoices instead (Check only as needed):

□ Please email invoices to (if different from above):

Name: _____

Email Address: _____

□ Please mail invoices to the attention of:

Name: _____

RETURN COMPLETED APPLICATIONS: <u>mandycox@catholicbenefitsassociation.org</u>

OR

695 Jerry Street Suite 306 Castle Rock, Colorado 80104

Case 1:24-cv-00142-CRH Document 1-4 Filed 07/24/24 Page 1 of 4



THE POWER OF ASSOCIATION ™

PRIVATE EMPLOYER MEMBERSHIP APPLICATION

Name of Organization	:
Address:	
_	
Name of Representative:	
Position:	
Phone: _	

The organization hereby applies, for itself, its affiliates and its subsidiaries as listed on Schedule A (if applicable), for membership in Catholic Benefits Association.

The organization hereby represents, for itself and its related employers, that (please initial **EACH**):

- Catholics (or trusts or other entities wholly controlled by Catholics) own 51% or more of the employer; 51% or more of the employers' governing bodies, if any, are Catholic;
 - _____51% or more of the employers' governing bodies, if any, are Catholic; and,
- With regard to any benefits it provides to its employees, independent contractors, or students, or with regard to the health care services it provides to its patients, the owners or their governing bodies of the organization and its related employers are committed to providing no benefits or services inconsistent with Catholic values.
 - The organization understands that should the three qualifications for membership, initialed above, change <u>in any way</u>, it is the responsibility of the organization to notify Catholic Benefits Association immediately to determine continued qualification for membership.



As a condition for membership in the Association, the organization agrees to pay dues and assessments to the Association based on the number of employees enrolled on the employersponsored health plan. Dues to be paid, as determined by the Association's Board of Directors, and which, as of the date of this application, are:

NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES	1 – 14 EEs	15 or more EEs
MEMBERSHIP DUES	\$300 /year	\$1.50/ month /employee, Capped at \$4000/ month

If the organization does not offer a health plan or is a sole proprietor, the minimum amount will apply.

The organization hereby represents (i) that it has the authority to apply for membership in the Association for itself and its related employers, and (ii) that its related employers which it intends to be included as members of the Association are identified on Schedule A,

The total number of covered employees¹ for the organization and the related employers

is_____.

(Name of Organization)

(Date)

(Representative Signature)

(Position)

¹ A "covered employee" is an employee participating in a health plan sponsored or maintained by the applicant organization or a health plan sponsored or maintained by any related employer. This number is updated annually or with significant changes reported by employer.



Schedule A

RELATED EMPLOYERS TO BE ADMITTED AS MEMBERS²

The applicant organization identifies the following separately incorporated related employers (other than parishes, which need not be separately identified) and acknowledges that pursuant to this Application for Membership, each of them will be admitted as a member of The Catholic Benefits Association:

Name:	
Name:	

In the case of other religious institute and non-diocesan non-profit entities, separately incorporated related employers should be identified.

If any related employer is a for profit entity, please write "for profit" behind the entity's name.

² Regardless of whether they are separately incorporated, parishes of an applicant will be members of the Association, and need not be listed on this Schedule A. If a diocese or archdiocese seeks to exclude a parish as a member of the Association, it may provide the Association written direction to that effect.

In the case of a diocese, archdiocese or eparchy, related employers include separately incorporated ministries, such as, by way of example, Catholic Charities, other Catholic relief organizations, schools, elder care facilities, cemetery associations, housing agencies and others.



NEW MEMBER BILLING PREFERENCES

New member dues are assessed after the first full month of membership. Membership dues are billed in arears for the preceding month and are sent on the first of each month. You can specify below the billing preference for your organization.

1. How often would you prefer to be billed? (Circle one):

Monthly

Annually (first billed will be prorated for the remaining months of the current year)

2. Who should we reference when sending your invoice:

Name: _____

Position: ______

Email Address:	

3. Our invoices are typically emailed; however, you can indicate if you would prefer mailed invoices instead (Check only as needed):

□ Please email invoices to (if different from above):

Name: _____

Email Address: _____

□ Please mail invoices to the attention of:

Name: _____

RETURN COMPLETED APPLICATIONS: mandycox@catholicbenefitsassociation.org

OR

695 Jerry Street Suite 306 Castle Rock, Colorado 80104

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NORTH DAKOTA WESTERN DIVISION

THE CATHOLIC BENEFITS ASSOCIATION, on behalf of its members; DIOCESE OF BISMARK,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CHARLOTTE BURROWS, Chair of the United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission; and UNITED STATES EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION, No._____

Defendants.

DECLARATION OF MOST REVEREND LIAM CARY

- I, Bishop Liam Cary, declare under penalty of perjury as follows
- 1. The following statements are based on my personal knowledge, and if called to testify, I

could swear thereto.

- 2. I am over 18 years of age and of sound mind.
- 3. I am a citizen of the United States.
- 4. I am the Bishop of the Diocese of Baker, Oregon.
- 5. The Diocese is a member of the CBA.
- 6. The Diocese has well over 15 employees.
- 7. As a Roman Catholic bishop, it is my duty to rule, to sanctify, and to be an authentic teacher

of the faith to those Catholics given to me to shepherd (Catechism of the Catholic Church, 1558).

Case 1:24-cv-00142-CRH Document 1-5 Filed 07/24/24 Page 2 of 6

8. The Catholic Church teaches that all people are created in the image and likeness of God and are thus imbued with human dignity. Catechism of the Catholic Church ("CCC") 1701. The Church proclaims "the equal dignity of all people, regardless of their living conditions or qualities" for three principal reasons. Declaration of the Dicastery for the Doctrine of the Faith "Dignitas Infinita" on Human Dignity, ¶ 17 (Apr. 4, 2024). *First*, Revelation "holds that the dignity of the human person comes from the love of the Creator, who has imprinted the indelible features of his image on every person (cf. Gen. 1:26)." *Id.* ¶ 18. *Second*, "the dignity of the human person was revealed in its fullness when the Father sent his Son, who assumed human existence to the full: 'In the mystery of the Incarnation, the Son of God confirmed the dignity of the body and soul which constitute the human being."" *Id.* ¶ 19 (citation omitted). *Third*, the Church teaches that all human beings' "ultimate destiny" is "communion with God, destined to last forever." *Id.* ¶ 20 (cleaned up). "[T]he dignity of this life is linked not only to its beginning, to the fact that it comes from God, but also to its final end, to its destiny of fellowship with God in knowledge and love of him." *Id.*

9. The Church teaches that this world-changing belief affects all social, political, and economic relationships. *Id.* ¶ 1. For example, the Church's teachings against practices such as abortion and euthanasia are inextricably paired to its teachings in favor of a preferential option for the poor in economic policy; care for migrants, no matter their status under law; and charity for people with disabilities. *See id.* ¶ 47 ("[T]his defense of unborn life is closely linked to the defense of each and every other human right."); *see also id.* at ¶ 36-40, 47, 51-54. "[T]he Church . . . ardently urges that *respect for the dignity of the human person beyond all circumstances* be placed at the center of the commitment to the common good and at the center of every legal system." *Id.* ¶ 64.

Case 1:24-cv-00142-CRH Document 1-5 Filed 07/24/24 Page 3 of 6

10. The Catechism of the Catholic Church teaches that life begins at conception and that "[h]uman life must be respected and protected absolutely from the moment of conception." CCC 2270. Thus, "[d]irect abortion, that is to say, abortion willed either as an end or a means, is gravely contrary to the moral law." CCC 2271.

11. While direct abortion is never permitted, the Diocese endeavors to support women in reconciliation and spiritual healing after having an abortion. For example, the Diocese supports Rachel's Vineyard, a Catholic ministry that assists women in spiritual healing after having an abortion.

12. The Diocese does not make accommodation for employees to engage in the violation of the moral teachings of the Church, including respect for human life.

13. The Diocese does not and will not provide any workplace accommodation for an employee to obtain a direct abortion. Obtaining a direct abortion is grounds for adverse employment action, up to and including termination.

14. The Diocese will take appropriate adverse employment action against any employee who encourages another person to obtain a direct abortion or to request an accommodation for a direct abortion.

15. The Diocese does not and will not permit its employees to advocate in favor of abortion or abortion accommodations in the workplace or outside of it.

16. The Diocese will take appropriate adverse employment action against any applicant, employee, or former employee whose speech, advocacy, or conduct undermines Catholic teachings about direct abortion or to the Diocese's policies with respect to abortion.

17. Catholics' public witness is especially important regarding abortion. "Among all the crimes which can be committed against life, procured abortion has characteristics making it particularly

Case 1:24-cv-00142-CRH Document 1-5 Filed 07/24/24 Page 4 of 6

serious and deplorable." *Dignitas Infinita*, ¶ 47 (quoting John Paul II, Encyclical Letter *Evangelium Vitae* ¶ 58 (March 25, 1995)). Accordingly, "[Catholics] need now more than ever to have the courage to look the truth in the eye and to call things by their proper name, without yielding to convenient compromises or to the temptation of self-deception." *Id.* "Especially in the case of abortion, there is a widespread use of ambiguous terminology, such as 'interruption of pregnancy,' which tends to hide abortion's true nature and to attenuate its seriousness in public opinion." *Id.* Catholics believe they must speak accurately about the nature of abortion. *Id.* ("In this regard, the reproach of the Prophet is extremely straightforward: 'Woe to those who call evil good and good evil, who put darkness for light and light for darkness' (Is. 5:20)."). Catholics "must, therefore, [state] with all force and clarity . . . that this defense of unborn life is closely linked to the defense of each and every other human right." *Id.* (cleaned up).

18. The Catholic Church teaches that the sexual relationship between spouses is more than mere biology (*Familiaris Consortio*, Pope John Paul II, Paragraph 11 (1981)), and that the conception of a child is the most serious role of spouses, involving co-creation with God. Therefore, each child is to be received as a gift from the Creator (CCC 2367, 2378). The Church acknowledges the sorrow caused by infertility and supports the use reproductive technologies that restore normal fertility to marital intercourse (CCC 2375), preserving its unitive and procreative purposes (United States Conference of Catholic Bishops, Ethical and Religious Directives for Catholic Health Care Services, pg. 17, \P 38 (6th ed. 2018)). But methods that involve third parties (medical technicians, donor gametes, or surrogate wombs); that separate fertilization from the conjugal act; or that in any way entail conception outside of a marriage recognized as valid by the Church are a violation of the dignity of the persons involved and are gravely immoral. Catholics

Case 1:24-cv-00142-CRH Document 1-5 Filed 07/24/24 Page 5 of 6

commit grave sin if they participate, facilitate, or otherwise accommodate or encourage use of these technologies. (CCC 2376-77).

19. The Diocese does not and will not provide any workplace accommodation for an employee to undergo, assist, or encourage immoral infertility procedures.

20. The Diocese does not and will not permit its employees to advocate in favor of immoral infertility procedures in the workplace or outside of it.

21. The Diocese will take appropriate adverse employment action against any applicant, employee, or former employee whose speech, advocacy, or conduct undermines Catholic teachings about immoral fertility procedures or to the Diocese's policies with respect to immoral fertility procedures.

22. The Diocese does not and will not cover in its health plan drugs or services contrary to Catholic teaching. These, among others, include contraceptives, abortifacients, abortion-inducing drugs or procedures, gender-affirming care, and immoral infertility procedures.

23. I have reviewed the Declaration of Most Rev. Bernard Hebda to be filed in this lawsuit contemporaneously with my declaration. His statements regarding Catholic belief in paragraphs 8 through 21 are correct, and I hereby incoporate them into my declaration as if they were my own (with the exceptions of the final sentences in paragraphs 20 and 21 but only because those sentences are specific to the Archdiocese of St. Paul and Minneapolis).

24. The Diocese also does not accommodate employees to engage in violation of the moral teachings of the Catholic Church. It does not and will not provide any workplace accommodation for an employee to obtain a direct abortion or to participate in immoral infertility procedure. It also does not use pronouns referring to others that are contrary to such person's biological male or female sex.

Case 1:24-cv-00142-CRH Document 1-5 Filed 07/24/24 Page 6 of 6

25. I declare under penalty of perjury that the statements herein are true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Executed on the 24th day of June 2024, at Redmond, Oregon.

<u>HEAM Cary</u> Most Rev. Liam Cary

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NORTH DAKOTA WESTERN DIVISION

THE CATHOLIC BENEFITS ASSOCIATION, on behalf of its members; DIOCESE OF BISMARCK,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CHARLOTTE BURROWS, Chair of the United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission; and UNITED STATES EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION,

Defendants.

DECLARATION OF MOST REVEREND BERNARD HEBDA

I, Archbishop Bernard Hebda, declare under penalty of perjury as follows

1. The following statements are based on my personal knowledge, and if called to testify, I

could swear thereto.

- 2. I am over 18 years of age and of sound mind.
- 3. I am a citizen of the United States.
- 4. I am the Archbishop of the Archdiocese of St. Paul and Minneapolis.
- 5. The Archdiocese is a member of the CBA, and I am a CBA boardmember.
- 6. The Archdiocese has over 15 employees.

7. As an ordained Roman Catholic bishop, it is my duty to sanctify, govern and teach the flock entrusted to me to shepherd (see *Code of Canon Law*, can. 376; *Catechism of the Catholic Church*,

1

Case 1:24-cv-00142-CRH Document 1-6 Filed 07/24/24 Page 2 of 6

1558). It is my responsibility as a diocesan bishop to "teach and illustrate to the faithful the truths of faith which are to be believed and applied to behavior" (*Code of Canon Law*, can. 386 §1).

8. Requiring a person to identify another by a sex other than their God-gifted sex would be to require him or her to act against central, unchangeable and architectural teachings of the Catholic faith. It would also contradict the teachings of the Bible (which Catholics regard as normative) concerning God's creative sovereignty, contradict reason and truth, and betray our sacred obligation not to knowingly harm other persons, particularly the most vulnerable. In short, the implications are so much greater than whether to utter the words "he" or "she." Instead, to demand that a Catholic deny another's sex is asking him or her to avow another religious worldview.

9. At the very beginning of the Catholic Bible, the book of Genesis establishes that God made the human race "in his image, male and female," and declared this to be "good" (Gen 1:27-31). Centuries later, Jesus repeats this scripture in the course of his teachings about the unbreakable complementary and reciprocal relations between a man and a woman in marriage (Mt 19:4-6).

10. To call a male a female or vice-versa asserts contrary to that passage from the Book of Genesis that we human beings make ourselves, and that our biological sex and sexual complementarity are "not good." It implies also that we are not "in God's image" - male and female made for mutual gift-giving, permanent love and procreation.

11. Furthermore, the Bible uses the image of a man and a woman in marriage to help us understand God and God's love. In the Old Testament, God refers to himself as the faithful bridegroom. In the New Testament, too, Jesus refers to himself as the bridegroom and instructs us that we are to love him as a bride loves her husband, and to love one another as He loved us (Jn

2

Case 1:24-cv-00142-CRH Document 1-6 Filed 07/24/24 Page 3 of 6

13:34). Denying the givenness of male and female, and the family based upon this reciprocal relationship of opposite sexes, thus obscures a central image of God and the love command at the heart of Christianity. It also destroys the anthropological basis for the family, society's source of life, health, stability and progress.

12. Reason naturally affirms faith on this matter, because God is the author of all reason and creation. Sex is inscribed into every cell in the human body. "Transgender medicine" can change surface appearance but never sex.

13. Scripture and basic human kindness forbid lying to another about reality. (See *Catechism of the Catholic Church* 2483: "To lie is to speak or act against the truth in order to lead into error someone who has the right to know the truth."). And every human being, religious or not, has the right to not be forced to utter a lie.

14. Lying about a person's sex contains a second falsehood as well: that a person's mind, will, and soul are separate from the body. Catholic teaching affirms the empirical medical reality of the interpenetrating relationship between mind, will and body. Each soul is made for the particular body it inhabits; it is never in the "wrong body." Furthermore, the body is not a mere "thing" to be manipulated by mind or will, like other created elements in the world. Instead, the body is sacred (see *Catechism of the Catholic Church*, 364; and U.S. Conference of Catholic Bishops, Committee on Doctrine, *Doctrinal Note on the Moral Limits to Technological Manipulation of the Human Body*, March 20, 2023, 4).

15. Lying about a person's sex can also do harm to vulnerable human beings in many other ways, and thereby contradicts Jesus' command that we extend special solicitude to the vulnerable. On the physical and psychological levels, a lie that confirms another persons' psychological

3

Case 1:24-cv-00142-CRH Document 1-6 Filed 07/24/24 Page 4 of 6

dysphoria, even in the name of false compassion, potentially obstructs detransitioning and negatively impacts a person's healthy growth and identity formation. It also confounds the psychological process by which people most often grow to understand themselves, which is by distinction from persons of the opposite sex. Moreover, it can be seen as denying the fact that a person's sex is a crucial part of his or her communicating, feeling and expressing themselves and taking part in society. To require one person to mis-identify another is thus to demand that one person knowingly obstruct another's development. (see the 2019 document of the Vatican Congregation for Catholic Education, "Male and Female He Created Them": Towards a Path of Dialogue on the Question of Gender Theory in Education, 26, 33, 35.).

16. On the spiritual level, lying about another's sex can be seen as dangerously teaching that freedom and happiness are the product of realizing subjective demands and desires. It claims that there are no such things as "givens" in nature, which much be respected and which are prior to the individual and to the state. But there are ecological "givens," both respecting human nature and the human environment. (Vatican Congregation for Catholic Education, "Male and Female He Created Them": Towards a Path of Dialogue on the Question of Gender Theory in Education, 9; and Pope Francis, Encyclical Letter Laudato Sí, On Care for Our Common Home, 2015, ¶¶ 5, 15, 118, 137-42).

17. The Catholic Church has recently reemphasized its rejection of "gender theory" in a Declaration of the Dicastery for the Doctrine of the Faith, *Dignitas Infinita* on human dignity (Apr. 4, 2024). *Dignitas Infinta* explains: "Pope Francis has reminded us that 'the path to peace calls for respect for human rights Regrettably, in recent decades, attempts have been made to introduce new rights that are neither fully consistent with those originally defined nor always acceptable.

4

They have led to instances of ideological colonization, in which gender theory plays a central role; the latter is extremely dangerous since it cancels differences in its claim to make everyone equal.'" $Id. \P 56$. "Desiring a personal self-determination, as gender theory prescribes, apart from this fundamental truth that human life is a gift, amounts to a concession to the age-old temptation to make oneself God, entering into competition with the true God of love revealed to us in the Gospel." $Id., \P 57$. "This ideology 'envisages a society without sexual differences, thereby eliminating the anthropological basis of the family.' [But] '[w]e cannot separate the masculine and the feminine from God's work of creation, which is prior to all our decisions and experiences, and where biological elements exist which are impossible to ignore.' Only by acknowledging and accepting this difference in reciprocity can each person fully discover themselves, their dignity, and their identity." $Id., \P 59$.

18. Requiring a Catholic institution to admit persons of the opposite sex into a space reserved for one sex violates the Catholic theological commitment to modesty – the preservation of respect for the dignity and purity of the person. In many circumstances, such a requirement would also undercut our practical concern for a safe environment.

19. Requiring a Catholic institution to admit persons of the opposite sex into a space reserved for one sex might also conflict with the Church's long tradition of single-sex educational institutions, which maintain an excellent track record of building communities in which students of a single-sex can develop the confident self-expression and skills necessary to enter adult society. The Archdiocese has two such single-sex educational institutions.

20. Catholics oppose direct abortion because direct abortion is the taking of an innocent human life. The Archdiocese adheres to Catholic teaching reagrding abortion.

5

Case 1:24-cv-00142-CRH Document 1-6 Filed 07/24/24 Page 6 of 6

21. The Archdiocese similarly adheres to Church teaching regarding the care for couples suffering from infertility. The Church acknowledges the sorrow caused by infertility and supports the use of reproductive technologies that restore normal fertility to marital intercourse (see CCC 2375), preserving its unitive and procreative purposes (United States Conference of Catholic Bishops, *Ethical and Religious Directives for Catholic Health Care Services*, pg. 17, ¶ 38 (6th ed. 2018)). But methods that involve third parties (medical technicians, donor gametes, or surrogate wombs); separate fertilization from the conjugal act; or in any way entail conception outside of a marriage recognized as valid by the Church are a violation of the dignity of the persons involved and are gravely immoral.

22. The Archdiocese does not make accommodation for its employees to engage in the violation of the moral teachings of the Church. It does not and will not provide any workplace accommodation for an employee to obtain a direct abortion or to undergo or otherwise participate in immoral infertility procedures.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the statements herein are true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Executed on the $2i^{t}$ day of June 2024, at St. Paul, Minnesota.

See A Hille

(Most'Rev.) Bernard A. Hebda

Case 1:24-cv-00142-CRH Document 1-7 Filed 07/24/24 Page 1 of 1 CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)*

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS THE CATHOLIC BENEFITS ASSOCIATION, on behalf of its members; and BIMARCK DIOCESE			bers;	DEFENDANTS CHARLOTTE BURROWS, Chair of the United States Equal Employment Oppor-tunity Commission; and UNITED STATES EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNI-TY COMMISSION			
(h) County of Desidence	(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Douglas County, Colora				The second		
()	CEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA		lorado	County of Residence	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES C	<u>y</u>	
	CEITTIN 0.5.1 LAINTIPP CA	020/		NOTE: IN LAND CO	NDEMNATION CASES, USE T	,	
				THE TRACT	OF LAND INVOLVED.		
(c) Attorneys <i>(Firm Name, A</i> L. Martin Nussbaum and Andre	Address, and Telephone Number ew Nussbaum: 2 N. Cascado	.) 2 Ave., Ste. 1430, Colo.	Spgs.,	Attorneys (1f Known)			
CO 80903 (719)428-4937							
Michael F. Fransisco: 800 Cont (202)754-0522	necticut Ave. NW, Ste. 300,	, Washington, DC 2000	6				
		D O L	III C	ITIZENGUID OF DI	DINCIDAL DADTER		
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) (For Diversity Cases Only)				(Place an "X" in One Box for Plainliff and One Box for Defendant)			
I U.S. Government	I 3 Federal Question			РТ	'F DEF	PTF DEF	
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government 1	Vot a Party)	Citiz	zen of This State			
					of Business In T	This State	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenshi)	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citiz	zen of Another State	2 🗇 2 Incorporated and I of Business In A		
				zen or Subject of a 🛛 🗇 oreign Country	3 🗇 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT				a ana ang sarat ang paripa sa		of Suit Code Descriptions.	
CONTRACT	1	RTS	1	ORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
 110 Insurance 120 Marine 	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR	Y 🗆 6	25 Drug Related Seizure	422 Appeal 28 USC 158	375 False Claims Act 276 Oui True (21 USC)	
120 Marine 130 Miller Act	 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product 	365 Personal Injury - Product Liability	Π 6	of Property 21 USC 881 90 Other	423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a))	
140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	🗇 367 Health Care/				400 State Reapportionment	
□ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	□ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Pharmaceutical			PROPERTY RIGHTS	1 410 Antitrust	
& Enforcement of Judgment	□ 330 Federal Employers'	Personal Injury Product Liability			 820 Copyrights 830 Patent 	 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 	
152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability	368 Asbestos Personal			835 Patent - Abbreviated	460 Deportation	
Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)	 340 Marine 345 Marine Product 	Injury Product Liability			New Drug Application 840 Trademark	470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations	
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability	PERSONAL PROPER	TY ST	LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	□ 480 Consumer Credit	
of Veteran's Benefits	350 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud	07	10 Fair Labor Standards	🗇 861 HIA (1395ff)	1 490 Cable/Sat TV	
 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 	355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal 		Act 20 Labor/Management	□ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange	
□ 195 Contract Product Liability	□ 360 Other Personal	Property Damage		Relations	□ 864 SSID Title XVI	890 Other Statutory Actions	
196 Franchise	Injury	□ 385 Property Damage		40 Railway Labor Act	□ 865 RSI (405(g))	891 Agricultural Acts	
	362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	Product Liability		51 Family and Medical Leave Act		 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information 	
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIO	NS 🖸 7	90 Other Labor Litigation	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	Act	
210 Land Condemnation	X 440 Other Civil Rights	Habeas Corpus:	07	91 Employee Retirement	D 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	B96 Arbitration	
 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 	 441 Voting 442 Employment 	 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate 		Income Security Act	or Defendant)	899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of	
□ 240 Torts to Land	□ 443 Housing/	Sentence	^		26 USC 7609	Agency Decision	
□ 245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations	530 General				950 Constitutionality of	
290 All Other Real Property	445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment	535 Death Penalty Other:	14	IMMIGRATION 62 Naturalization Application		State Statutes	
	🗇 446 Amer. w/Disabilities -	540 Mandamus & Oth		65 Other Immigration			
	Other 448 Education	 550 Civil Rights 555 Prison Condition 		Actions			
	LJ 446 Education	□ 555 Frison Condition □ 560 Civil Detainee -					
		Conditions of					
		Confinement			L		
V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" i							
X 1 Original Proceeding □ 2 Re Sta	ite Court	Appellate Court	Rec	opened Anothe (specify)			
	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	tute under which you a	re filing ((Do not cite jurisdictional stat	utes unless diversity):		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION			iment, l	U.S. Const., 5 USC 5	51 et seg (APA)		
	Brief description of ca		Title V	Il violate Plaintiffs' rel	inious beliefs		
Pregnant Workers Fairness Act and Title VII violate Plaintiffs' religious beliefs VII. REQUESTED IN ID CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:							
COMPLAINT: UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. JURY DEMAND:							
VIII. RELATED CASE(S)							
IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER		
DATE DATE		SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY	OFRECORD			
7-24-24	$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	rrja	10 1	hink			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	\checkmark						
RECEIPT # Al	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG, JUI	DGE	